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## ABSTRACT

Petroleum system definition and analysis use compositional links between petroleum and source kerogen. Petroleum families are commonly classified using qualitative or semi-quantitative methods based on compound presence or relative abundance, while petroleum-source rock correlation links a petroleum family to a stratigraphic unit, facies and/or locality containing the source kerogen. In this study, we apply multivariate statistical analysis to explore the oil family classification in Williston Basin using the Malcom (SLB) software package.

In this study twenty oil samples, that belong to five previously defined compositional families (oil families A, B, C, D and E) obtained from the Williston Basin are analyzed. The objective is to utilize biomarkers distribution and apply classification methods using the Malcom Interactive Fluid Characterization Software to identify the oil families. Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC) and Sammon mapping were employed to explore compositional data from saturated hydrocarbon fractions and hopane biomarkers of the oil samples from Williston Basin petroleum province.

The results indicate that an efficient classification of the oil families may be obtained when the AHC was used, with Ward's aggregation method. In addition PCA also revealed a good classification scheme of the oil families, especially when the n alkanes are used. Finally the Malcom (SLB) software package was found to be a useful tool in geochemical data treatment.
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## INTRODUCTION

In this study twenty oil samples, that belong to five previously defined compositional families (oil families A, B, C, D and E) obtained from the Williston Basin are analyzed. The objective is to utilize biomarkers distribution and apply classification methods using the Malcom Interactive Fluid Characterization Software of Schlumberger to identify the oil families.

Principal Component Analysis (PCA), Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC) and Sammon mapping were employed to explore compositional data from saturated hydrocarbon fractions (SFH) and hopane biomarkers of the oil samples from Williston Basin petroleum province. In PCA the samples are displayed in PC space, and subsequently assigned to oil families. In AHC the samples are classified using four different aggregation methods, specifically Ward's, Link's Complete,Link's Simple and Link's Average aggregation method. Lastly in Sammon mapping the samples were projected in a 2D space while the distances of the samples are kept the same.

Finally, the results regarding the classification of the oil families for all three methods are presented.

## 1 WILLISTON BASIN

### 1.1 Introduction

The Williston Basin is a structural basin located in North America, specifically in North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Saskatchewan and Manitoba. It is a major producer basin of oil and gas (Figure 1). The petroleum system concept was first applied by Dow and Williams who defined three oil systems in the Williston Basin: Tyler, Bakken, and Winnipeg (Dow, 1974; Williams, 1974).


Figure 1: Map of the Williston Basin, United States and Canada (oil fields in green) after Lillis (2012)

Since then, the petroleum system concept has evolved and recent work has defined at least nine oil systems in the basin (Figure 2).

Figure 2 shows the stratigraphic distribution of the identified petroleum systems in the Williston Basin. The stratigraphic distribution of the oil families from each system is generally limited to the same source rock due to efficient seals and a paucity of vertical migration pathways (Lillis, 2012).


Figure 2: Stratigraphic column of the Williston Basin showing the petroleum systems in color and the stratigraphic distribution of the petroleum system fluids, after Lillis (2012)

### 1.2 Geological Backround

The Williston Basin is located in the United States and Canada with an area of approximately 300000 square miles. The United States side is comprised of states of Montana, North Dakota and part of South Dakota.

The sedimentation in the Williston Basin started during the Cambrian and continued up to the Quaternary. The stratigraphic section in this basin has an approximate thickness of up to 16000 ft . in the central part of the basin. During deposition during the Middle Devonian, the Williston Basin was tilted to the north and connected with the Elk Point Basin. With this new configuration the sediments in the basin thicken from south to north (Gerhard et al., 1987). Subsequent deposition during Late Devonian showed a dominance of marine conditions, but this time the sedimentation comes from west through the Montana Trough (Gerhard et al., 1990).

According to Obermajer (2003) the regional petroleum systems are relatively well defined due to previous studies, making this setting ideal for developing
alternative means of identifying genetic families of oils and characterizing petroleum systems. Six of the compositionally distinctive oil families that have been recognized in the Williston Basin, are shown in Table 1 (Obermajer et al., 2003), while the stratigraphic range of the families is presented in Figure 3.

| Oil Family | Main Reservoir | Source Rocks |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| F | Viking | Colorado |
| E | Bakken | Bakken/Exshaw |
| B | Bakken | Bakken |
| C | Madison | Lodgepole |
| D | Winnipegosis | Winnipegosis |
| A | Red River | Winnipeg-Bighorn |

Table 1: Generalized Williston Basin oil family classification (after Obermajer 2003)


Figure 3: Stratigraphic range of oil families in the Williston Basin (after Osadetz 1992, 1994)

### 1.3 Williston Basin Petroleum Systems

In this study, classification is applied in five different oil families, specifically in Families A, B C, D and E. Twenty samples, containing all five oil familes, were analyzed with GC-MS analysis (more details of the analysis are presented in the chapter "RESULTS"). Following the petroleum systems of each family are analysed, Also the distributions of the saturate hydrocarbons and hopane biomarkers of those samples are presented.

### 1.3.1 Red River Petroleum System (Oil Family A)

The Red River oil family was first identified by Williams (1974) as "Type I" oils and was also confirmed in other geochemical studies (Thode, 1981; Zumberge, 1983; Leenheer and Zumberge, 1987; Brooks et al., 1987) The gas chromatogram signature is characterized by an odd carbon number predominance in the C9 to C19 n-alkanes and unusually low concentrations of C2O+ $n$-alkanes and acyclic isoprenoids, particularly pristane and phytane. Red River oils have a low $S$ content and display a wide range of maturities.

Most of the Red River formations consist of marine limestone and dolomite with TOC values ranging from 0.14 to $0.54 \mathrm{wt} \%$ according to Williams (1974), but Kohm and Louden (1982) reported kerogenite beds in the lower Red River Formation with TOC values between 9 and $14 \mathrm{wt} \%$. The distribution of the n -alkanes (Figure 4) and the hopanes (Figure 5) of the oil family A is presented below, where all the samples of the specific family appear.


Figure 4:Distribution of the $n$-alkanes of the oil family $A$


Figure 5: Distribution of the hopanes of the oil family A

### 1.3.2 Bakken Petroleum System (Oil Families B \& E)

The Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian Bakken Formation has long been known to be a world-class source rock in the Williston Basin (Murray, 1968; Williams, 1974; Dow, 1974) In his study, Williams (1974) identified the Bakken as a major source rock for the oils found in Mississippian Madison Group reservoirs in the Williston Basin, and based on this study Dow (1974) proposed the Bakken-Madison oil system (petroleum system).Later on the Bakken-Madison petroleum system of Williams (1974) was supported by Thode (1981) who used sulfur isotopes to correlate the Madison oil family to the Bakken oil family.

In 1987 Brooks was the first to recognize the genetic difference between the oils produced from Madison and Bakken reservoirs based on biomarker geochemistry. The low pristane/phytane and diasterane/sterane values in addition to the high norhopane/hopane values in the Madison-reservoired oils, indicate a carbonate source deposited in an anoxic water column. On the other hand Bakkenreservoired oils have high diasterane/sterane values indicating an argillaceous source rock. So they proposed that the oils found in the Madison are not Bakkensourced but are derived from source rocks within the Madison Group. In addition, Osadetz (1992) correlated the Bakken reservoired oils in the Canadian Williston Basin to Bakken source rocks based on their biomarker signatures. All subsequent geochemical studies have supported the Canadian Bakken oil-source correlation of Osadetz (1992) and extended the correlation into the U.S. Williston Basin (e.g. Price and LeFever, 1992, 1994; Obermajer et al., 1998; Jarvie, 2001).

The Bakken Formation was defined in the subsurface of Dakota by Nordquist (1953) and subdivided into three parts: lower and upper part of organic-rich mudstones deposited in a restricted marine basin under largely anoxic conditions, and a middle part consisting of various lithologies, such as sandstone, siltstone, dolomite, and mudstone deposited in a shallow marine environment (LeFever et al., 1991; Smith and Bustin, 2000). Numerous studies have shown that the Upper and Lower parts have very similar organic richness and kerogen quality, while the Middle part has very low organic carbon content. The kerogen type in the Upper and Lower Bakken parts is considered to be mostly Type II (Osadetz et al., 1992).

In the Bakken Formation in Saskatchewan (Canada) two oil families can be found, the oil Families B and E. The organic facies in the Bakken Formation can be distinguished from the Upper Devonian and Lower Mississippian Exshaw Formation, with the former (Oil Family B) containing deep to intermediate water depth organic facies, and the latter (Oil Family E) shallow-water organic facies, as was mentioned by Stasiuk (2004). The distribution of the $n$-alkanes and the hopanes of the oil families B and E is presented in Figures 6-9, where all the samples of the family appear.


Figure 6: Distribution of the $n$-alkanes of the oil family B


Figure 7: Distribution of the hopanes of the oil family B


Figure 8: Distribution of the $n$-alkanes of the oil family $E$


Figure 9: Distribution of the hopanes of the oil family E

### 1.3.3 Madison Petroleum System (Oil Family C)

Most of the Williston oil families have unique compositions and are easily correlated with a specific source rock but oil family C has been shown to be more heterogeneous than the other families, according to Obermajer (2000). Family C was initially defined in the Madison Group of eastern Saskatchewan by Osadetz (1992) and later on was extended into the western area of Saskatchewan again by Osadetz (1994), and into the American portion of the basin by Price (1994). As Jarvie (2001) reported, the compositional variation within the family C oils has been attributed to heterogeneity of their sources and mixing of oils derived from Bakken and Madison systems.
"The geochemical composition of Madison oils, is characterized by high S content, low pristane/phytane and diasterane/sterane values and high norhopane/hopane values, indicating a carbonate source rock", mentioned Brooks (1987).

The distribution of the $n$-alkanes and the hopanes of the oil family $A$ is presented in Figure 10 and Figure 11 respectively, where all the samples of the specific family appear.


Figure 10: Distribution of the $n$-alkanes of the oil family $C$


Figure 11: Distribution of the hopanes of the oil family C

### 1.3.4 Winnipegosis Petroleum System (Oil Family D)

Oil Family D was first recognized as a distinct genetic oil family amd is produced from the Devonian Winnipegosis Formation in the Canadian Williston Basin (Brooks, 1987). According to Dow (1974) the overlying Devonian Prairie Formation is a good regional seal and most likely prevented the Winnipegosis oil from migrating into younger reservoirs. Finally, Osadetz and Snowdon (1995) believe that the Winnipegosis source rocks contain Type I and Type II kerogen based on Rock-Eval and visual kerogen analyses. The distribution of the $n$-alkanes and the hopanes of the oil family $A$ is presented in Figure 12 and Figure 13 respectively, where all the samples of the specific family appear in one picture.


Figure 12: Distribution of the $n$-alkanes of the oil family $D$


Figure 13: Distribution of the hopanes of the oil family D

## 2 CLASSIFICATION METHODS

In this study, we apply multivariate statistical analysis to explore the oil family classification in Williston Basin. Petroleum system definition and analysis use compositional links between petroleum and source kerogen. Petroleum families are commonly classified using qualitative or semi-quantitative methods based on compound presence or relative abundance (Dow, 1974), while petroleum-source rock correlation links a petroleum family to a stratigraphic unit, facies and/or locality containing the source kerogen (Curiale, 1994).

### 2.1 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is an exploratory multivariate statistical method that can be used to identify relations in large data sets influenced by multiple variables. Petroleum systems are well suited to such exploration because oil composition results from a complicated interaction of biological, environmental, geological, and physical processes. PCA has many applications to geological problems. It has been applied to organic geochemistry describing and classifying the petroleum generation and the secondary processes. It has also been used to identify petroleum families while characterizing alteration pathways and it has been shown to be efficient for the discrimination of petroleum. In this work we use PCA for variable reduction and classification purposes, maximizing the diagnostic characteristics of the saturated hydrocarbon and the hopanes biomarkers (Obermajer, 2003).

Principal Components (PCs) are the underlying structure of the data, specifically they are the directions where there is the most variance. In other words the directions where the data is more spread out. Principal Components are derived through PCA and represent a linear combination of original variables that account for the largest possible portion of the original data total variance. The first PC passes through the centroid of the standardized data set and explains the greatest amount of variance of any single PC, whereas successive PCs explain progressively less of the original variance. The number of principal components is less than or equal to the number of original samples. This transformation is defined in such a way that the first principal component has the largest possible variance and each succeeding component in turn has the highest variance possible under the constraint that it is orthogonal to the preceding components. The resulting vectors are an uncorrelated orthogonal basis set. The principal components are orthogonal because they are the eigenvectors of the covariance matrix, which is symmetric. Finally, it has to be noted that PCA is sensitive to the relative scaling of the original variables.


Figure 14: Data reduction using Principal Component Analysis (PCA)
A group of individuals is characterized by a number of $p$ descriptive variables. These $p$ descriptive variables are firstly standardized: each component is subtracted from its mean value and divided by its standard deviation (on the whole dataset). This enables to give the same weight to each variable. The principal component analysis consists in finding a space $E k(k<p)$ such as the inertia of the cloud points projected on Ek is at its maximum. This involves diagonalizing the correlation matrix and studying Eigen vectors' space:

- Eigen vectors represent the factor axes
- Eigen values correspond to the inertia related to each axis.

The correlation matrix is deducted from the covariance matrix.

$$
\mathbf{M}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}-\bar{x} & y_{1}-\bar{y} \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
x_{n}-\bar{x} & y_{n}-\bar{y}
\end{array}\right] \square \operatorname{Cov}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \mathbf{M} . \quad \begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Corr}(X, Y)=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sigma_{X} \cdot \sigma_{Y}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equation 1: Correlation matrix

The correlation matrix is symmetrical with diagonal elements equal to 1 . It gives access to correlation between variables from non-diagonal components.

If a non-diagonal element $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ij}}$, representing links between variables i and j , is close to:

- -1 , then the two variables are anti-correlated
- 0 , then the variables have no evident correlation
- 1 , then the variables are correlated

Eigen vectors and values exist in pairs: every Eigen vector hasa corresponding Eigen value. Eigen vectors give the direction of the axes of the orthonormal basis while Eigen values are numbers telling you how much variance there is in the data in that direction. The more their associated Eigen values are high, the more their
corresponding axis contain information. The inertia, which can be associated to the quantity of information, is calculated from normalized Eigen values.

Results can be displayed on a two-dimensional graph, representing the values of the projected individuals on the more significant principal axes. The principal axes are defined by Eigen vectors of the correlation matrix and sorted by decreasing Eigen values. The first principal axes contain the highest information. If the inertia of a particular axis is too low, it is then possible to ignore the projected values on these axes, so the number of dimensionality can be reduced.

### 2.2 Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC)

The ascendant hierarchical classification method consists in building a series of clusters with $n, n-1, \ldots, 1$ classes stacked one to each other. Each iteration leads to the aggregation of two classes following an aggregation criteria, based on the measure of Euclidean distances or on dissimilarity of classes. The key point of this method relies on a non-random initialization. As all the parameters of this method are identical, a set of data can only have a unique solution.

For the aggregation, at the initial stage, each individual is considered as a whole group. As long as the individuals have not been merged inside one single group, the two nearest group are gathered, the distances between the newly created group and the other groups are updated and the process is repeated until all the individuals are gathered into one single group.

For the calculation of the distances between groups two different calculation methods can be applied:

- The Ward method. It's the most commonly used method. This aggregation corresponds to the one with the minimal variance (i.e. the mean-square distance between each individual of a class and the center of gravity of this class is minimum).


Figure 15: Calculation of dinstances - Ward Method

- The links method. Consists of three different aggregation methods, described below.
* Single links method. The calculation of the distance between two classes is achieved by choosing the closest individuals of each class. The aggregation is performed between the two classes having the lower distance.


Figure 16: Calculation of distances - Single Links Method

* Complete links method. The calculation of the distance between two classes is achieved by choosing the farthest individuals of each class. The aggregation is performed between the two classes having the lower distance.


Figure 17: Calculation of distances - Complete Links Method

* Average links method. The calculation of the distance between two classes is achieved by evaluating the mean distance between all the individuals of each class, so the aggregation is performed between the two classes having the lower distance.


Figure 18: Calculation of distances - Average Links Method
For groups in which individuals have very different characteristics, these methods lead to the same results. On the other hand, for groups in which individuals
are poorly differentiated, the results can be different depending of the chosen method:
$>$ The single links method favors the aggregation of a high number of individuals,
> On the opposite, the complete links method favors the aggregation of groups containing a small number of individuals,
> The average links method is an intermediate between the two above methods

Finally, the Ward method relies on a minimal inertia criterion, nearly independent on the number of individuals in the group.

### 2.3 Sammon Method

It is known that individuals characterized by more than 3 variables can't be visualized in their variables space. However, it is possible to perform some projections in a two or three dimensions space, which keep the distance between individuals at best, in order to get an idea of the potential relative similarities between individuals.

The Sammon algorithm is particularly adapted to this situation. This method reduces the number of variables related to the individuals with the aim to keep the same distances between the individual before and after reduction. It provides a quick overview of the relative position of the samples in a 2D space but it has to be used cautiously, as part of the information is lost with the reduction of the data.


Figure 19: Data reduction using 2D sammon visualization

The Sammon method consists in minimizing the quadratic error distances between inter-individuals in the variables space and inter-individuals in the projected space.

$$
C=\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} d_{v}} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \frac{\left[D_{i j}-d_{i j}\right]^{2}}{d_{i j}}
$$

Equation 2: Calculation of the total quadratic deviation
With:
$D_{\mathrm{ij}}$ : distance between individual I and individual j in the variables space $d_{i j}$ : distance between individual I and individual j in the projected space $C$ : total quadratic deviation

The coordinates of individuals in the projected space are optimized by an iterative process, so that the quadratic deviation converges towards a minimum. It is important to note that the initialization of the algorithm is performed randomly. It is then possible to get different results from one test to another, since the distances between individuals are important, and not the individual position in the projected space.

## 3 MALCOM SOFTWARE

In this study Malcom Interactive Fluid Characterization Software by Schlumberger was used to identify different oil families of the Williston Basin. Malcom Software is capable of providing comprehensive and rapid interpretation of geochemical rock and fluid properties. It stores, evaluates and processes geochemical data streams to facilitate the interpretation process, while it also enables a faster and more dynamic integration into the full chain of upstream exploration and production.

In more details the software includes project management to organize and store geochemical datasets as well as chromatographic peak identification and quantification and extracted ion analysis. It also features high-quality chromatogram extracting tools that provide easy exploration and quality control of geochemical datasets. It relies on comparison of the chemical composition of several chromatograms acquired under the same chromatographic conditions. The comparison of oil GC fingerprints provides information on biomarkers, reservoir connectivity, estimation of the size of reservoirs, and production allocation calculation (www.slb.com/malcom).

The software is used mostly for characterization of reservoirs, as well as studies of reservoir continuity. Characterization of reservoir continuity provides information for reducing the key uncertainties in a proposed oil field development and in planning and implementing the optimal development of petroleum reservoirs. "Various methods can be used to assess the compartmentalization of reservoirs, such as PVT measurements, gas chromatography (GC) "oil fingerprinting", gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS). Since the beginning of the 1980s, reservoir oil fingerprinting (ROF) has been widely used to determine reservoir continuity" was mentioned by Nouvelle (2010).

In this study the Malcom Software was used for the classification of the oil samples of the Williston Basin oil families. The procedure of identifying the oil families will be decribed in details below.

### 3.1 Creating a Project

Starting the program, the first thing to do is to create a New Project. A project is a group of data comprising analyses, numerical arrays and results of the data processing.

When the New Project is selected, a dialog box appears in a new dynamic tooltab, enabling the user to define the following three options:

- The name of the project (mandatory).
- The country: Greece was selected from the list (optional),
- A description of the project (optional).


Figure 20: Generate a New Project tab- step 1 (after Malcom's users manual)
The second step is to modify the project location wherever it is desirable for the user. Click on the drop drop down menu to access the list of Malcom project directories and choose another folder in the list of Malcom project directories.


Figure 21: Generate a New Project tab- step 2 (after Malcom's users manual)
When clicking on the "Next" button, it creates the new project. This new project is empty, so the next step consists in importing analyses in the project.

### 3.2 Import of Data

Now the import of the data follows. In Malcom a lot of different file formats can be imported, but in this case only analysis files of GC/MS analysis are used.

It is possible to import some new analyses in a project at any time. Please note that data import into Malcom is a two steps process: first comes the import the data into the Import buffer, then from this buffer, we can pre-visualize data in the Preview tab. After check properties of the data in the Properties tab and choose the desirable data to be imported. Once the data are checked and selected to import, click on the Import button to load the data into the project. The "Project" dynamic tooltab will open the import wizard, which enables the user to drag and drop data from the file system explorer on the left to choose the file or files to import.


Figure 22: Import data in the New Project

### 3.3 Chromatographic Extraction

Subsequently, the chromatographic extraction is the next step to follow. In Malcom the extraction of ion chromatogram tool enables the user to generate Extracted Ion Chromatogram from GC/MS data. To start this tool it is necessary to click on the "Extracted Ion Chromatogram" icon in the "Modules" dynamic tooltab.


Figure 23: Chromatographic extraction Wizard
The wizard shown above appears in a new dynamic tooltab dedicated to "Chromatographic extraction" and the necessary steps are:

- Drag and drop the GC/MS analysis from the project explorer in the specified box of the wizard,
- Choose one or several $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ ratios of the ion(s) to extract from the list located on the left side of the wizard (in this case $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ and 191)

Finally click on the Extract icon $\theta$, the specified ion chromatograms are displayed in the appearing window. You can choose how to display the results, either in one window or in separated windows. You can also choose not to display the resulting chromatograms and just save it in the project explorer.

### 3.4 Create a Compound Database

After the Chromatographic Extraction is applied on all the analysis for each different ion all it's left is to identify and quantify each compound.

Malcom is delivered with a compounds database, which doesn't contain any compounds when the the software is first opened. In order to enter some compounds in the database, the user needs to perform some "manual" identification, either by entering the name of the compounds on the quantified chromatogram or by using a file containing information on retention time and name of the corresponding compounds. As soon as compounds have been referenced, they will be stored in the database and will be available for any further automatic identification.

### 3.5 Identification - Quantification

Since the desirable ions have been exracted it isimportant now to identify the containing compounds. In Malcom the objective of the identification tool is to automatically identified compounds of analyses. All new identification achieved "manually" by the user is automatically saved in a database.

The identification tool can be started by clicking on the IQ (Identification/Quantification) module icon in the "Modules" dynamic tooltab.The identification wizard opens in a new dynamic tooltab where the steps needen to be follow are described below:

The first step is to define signals to be processed by the "IQ" module. Drag and drop analyses to process from the project explorer into the "Working signal setup" window and click on the right arrow $\Rightarrow$ to go to the next step.


Figure 24: IQ wizard step 1 (after Malcom's users manual)

Three different choices are available as identification method:

- No identification: this method has to be used when no identification is required
- Identification with file: this method has to be used when an external identification file is available for a specific chromatogram.
- Automatic identification: this method enables the user to perform identification on several chromatograms simultaneously and automatically by using a reference analysis where compounds have already been identified.

After choosing "No identification", click on $\Rightarrow$ to go to the next step, the user is now asked to define the analyses to process. The analyses is dragged and dropped to be process in the corresponding window and continue by clicking on $\Rightarrow$.


Figure 25: IQ wizard step 2 (after Malcom's users manual)
The second step of this process is to define the quantification parameters:

- integration
- integration with discontinuous baseline or
- deconvolution

With additional information about the filters (coelution, minimum height and grouping) and the baseline sensitivity.

The quantification parameter used at first is integration with discontinuous baseline, which provides the user integrated chromatograms. As opposed to the classical integration method, this method provides integration of the peaks with a discontinuous baseline, each peak is integrated with independent anchors from the neighboring peaks. In that case, there is no continuity in the baseline.

To identify peaks from this integration, select a peak and choose "Reference peak" in the toolbox. The compound editor automatically opens and the different fields of the forms can be filled. When clicking on "update", the label of the peak appears on the chromatogram. The compound is automatically added in the current database and will be searched in any future identification.


Figure 26: Identify a peak manually (after Malcom's users manual)
This "IQ" can be saved by clicking on the "Save" icon $\boldsymbol{H}_{\text {of the "IQ" dynamic }}$ tooltab. This type of identification is represented with the icon ${ }^{10}$, while the automatic identifications is represented with the icon ${ }^{\text {de }}$. This analysis can be reloaded by dragging and dropping it in the workspace and additional peaks can be referenced on this analysis if needed.


Figure 27: Identification of the Standard sample of the n -alkanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ )
After indentifying and quantifying them, for the rest of the samples, the "identification with file" method was used. The identification with file relies on the analysis of the similarity between already processed chromatograms (called
reference chromatograms) and one or several chromatograms to process (analyses to treat). The reference chromatograms include a list of peaks, which have been identified. The software will use this list to match the compounds of the chromatogram to process with the list of peaks previously identified in the reference chromatogram.

The similarity analysis is performed by comparing the relative retention times and abundances of all detected compounds in the reference chromatograms and in the chromatograms to process.

In order to get relevant results in the similarity analysis, it is necessary that the chromatograms are not too different in terms of retention time and abundance as the method relies on these values, which are the only values that can be used with single-channel chromatograms.


Figure 28: Quantification parameters in the IQ wizard (after Malcom's users manual)
This process provides the user an integrated chromatogram together with an identification of the peaks which were described in the identification dataset. In this step, the user can define several sensitivity parameters: coelution, minimum height, grouping and baseline sensitivity. Once the values have been defined, click on "Apply" (Figure 29) to visualize the updated integration. In this study all the parameters were set to the default ones, specifically neither the coelution, nor the minimum height or grouping parameter were selected and the baseline was set to 80.

Using the identification file of the standard sample that has already been identified manually, the $n$-alkanes of the samples are identified automatically as presented in Figure 30.


Figure 29: Application of IQ parameters


Figure 30: Identification with file of the $\boldsymbol{n}$-alkanes
Once the targeted compounds have been identified on each signal, simply click on the right arrow icon $\Delta$ in the "Results" identification wizard. All the results of the identification are gathered and displayed in the results tables, which are saved at the end of the identification process.

The identification will be automatically saved when clicking on the red cross of the identification dynamic tooltab. When closing the identification process, the identification assistant will ask you if you want to save your identification. You can save only the identified compounds or you can save all the detected peaks (by checking the "Save all compounds" box). The identification is saved as a graphical way under the identification tree and as numerical tables in the project explorer, under the "numerical arrays" tree.


Figure 31: Save IQ numerical arrays (after Malcom's users manual)
The identification will be automatically saved when clicking on the red cross of the identification dynamic tooltab. When closing the identification process, the identification assistant will ask you if you want to save your identification. You can save only the identified compounds or you can save all the detected peaks (by checking the "Save all compounds" box). The identification is saved as a graphical way under the identification tree and as numerical tables in the project explorer, under the "numerical arrays" tree.

The same method was followed also for the hopanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ). The identification of the hopanes was made manually using one of the given samples.Then it was used as the file to identify the hopanes for the rest of the samples. An indicative identification of the hopanes is presents in Figure 32.


### 3.6 Editing the Numerical Arrays

After creating the numerical arrays for all samples and all the ions for each sample, the arrays were exported in Excel files, where they were modified. Specifically, summary tables were generated for all the samples in each different ion (see Appendix "Identification - Quantification"). These arrays were used later on in the chemometric tools.

### 3.7 Chemometric Tools

The Malcom Chemometrics tab enables the user to perform some statistical analysis from the data of the editor.

### 3.7.1 Data reduction - Principal Component Analysis -

The data reduction tool enables the user to reduce the number of descriptive variables to extract a few significant variables to simplify the interpretation of a complex dataset.

The "data reduction" tool can be started from the "Data editor" dynamic tooltab, which is available as soon as a data editor in opened in the workspace. This is achieved by dragging and dropping a numerical array from the project explorer into the workspace. Once a data editor is opened, click on the "Chemometrics" icon 2 and choose data reduction in the drop-down list.

The user can work on specified individuals. For this, select the individuals on which you want to perform the data reduction in the excluded window and click on. If you want to select several individuals simultaneously, click on the analyses while holding down the "Ctrl" key. In that case, all the analyses selected at the same time will be considered in the same group


Figure 33: Data Reduction Wizard (after Malcom's users manual)
The groups that have been defined can be saved to be reused later by clicking on the save icon of the data reduction window. The group are saved as numeric arrays in the project explorer under the folder corresponding to the original input dataset.

Three different data reduction method are proposed in Malcom:

- Principal Component Analysis (PCA),
- Reduction by Inertia Constraining (RIC),
- Reduction by Maximization of the Inertia (RMI).

In this study, PCA was applied on our data and one single parameter is needed in this method. It concerns the number of principal components on which the individuals will be projected as it is presented in Figure 34, along with the method's parameters.

By clicking on "Launch" button, the results are displayed in the data editor. The last column displays the quality associated to each principal component and the results are all saved as arrays.


Figure 34: Data reduction -PCA parameters

### 3.7.2 Ascending Hierarchical Classification (AHC)

In Malcom the classification tool enables the user to group individuals according to similarities of their descriptive variables. Three classification tools are implemented in Malcom:

* Ascendant Hierarchical Classification (AHC)
* Kohonen Neuronal Map
* Fuzzy logic

To start the analyses classification tool, the "Data editor" dynamic tooltab needs to be activated. This dynamic tooltab is activated as soon as a data editor is opened in the workspace. All the classification tools are accessible from the "Statistical tools" icons in the "Data editor" dynamic tooltab.

Fisrtly, click on "Analyses classification" icon in the drop down menu of the statistical tools icon:


Figure 35: Chemometric tools selection window
The three methods can be started simultaneously in order to facilitate comparisons between the different methods, but in this study only the AHC method is used. The parameters need to be set for the method and are available in the "parameters" tab of the classification tools (Figure 36).

For the AHC, the number of groups is just an indication of the maximum number of groups. The display of the 2D dedrogram is also selected, while the "previous results and graphic" is not necessary in this study.


Figure 36: AHC parametrs window (after Malcom's users manual)
The resulting groups are displayed as an additional tab in the data editor. This results can be saved by clicking on the "Save" icon in the menu bar.

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| B1 | 3 | NA |
| A1 | 3 | NA |
| A2 | 4 | NA |
| B2 | 3 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 5 | NA |
| C3 | 5 | NA |
| C4 | 5 | NA |
| D4 | 2 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 1 | NA |
| Number of groups | 5 |  |

Figure 37: Indicative Results of AHC

### 3.7.3 Sammon Mapping

To visualize the Sammon projections from a data editor, expand the "Chemometrics tools" icon select the "Sammon map" tool.

All the individuals are represented on a two dimensions graph, while the distances between individuals are fitted in the most accurate way to the initial variables space.


Figure 38: Representation of data in 2D sammon map

## 4 RESULTS

The data used in this study were obtained from the GC-MS analysis of the saturates fractions that was performed using an Agilent HP 7890/5975C system, with an HP-5 5\% phenyl methylsiloxane column ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 250 \mathrm{~mm} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), with the initial oven temperature set at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by a temperature ramp of $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ up to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The samples ( $1 \mathrm{\mu l}$ ) were injected through a split-splitless injector (pulsedsplitless mode, at $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) diluted ( $1 / 200$ ) in ultra-pure hexane (SupraSolv ${ }^{\circledR}$, Merck). The transfer line, MS source and quantrupole temperatures were set at $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. The analysiswas carried-out in full scan ion detection mode (50-500 amu). Peak areas of $n$-alkanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ) were determined from the Malcom Software as well as the respective areas for hopanes biomarkers ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ).

### 4.1 Available Data

It was necessary to create a Compound Database for all the compounds that will be used for identification. For the $n$-alkanes a standard sample of a concentration of 23ppm was used for the identification. In addition, one of the 20 samples, specifically the sample A2 was used for the hopane identification. The identification method we used at first is the "no identification" one, since neither a compound database nor a reference file are available yet. The database will consist of all the compounds identified in the standard sample as well as the sample A2, thus it consists of the n -alkanes, isoprenoids and hopanes identified manually and they will later on be used as reference files for the IQ of the rest of the samples. Specifically :

- A standard analysis of 23 ppm concentration, containing $n$-alkanes and isoprenoids, was used as a reference file for the identification of $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$.
- An oil sample, specifically the sample A2 was used as a reference file to identify the hopanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 191)


Figure 39: Mass spectra of dodecane

The $n$-alkanes are important for geochemical characterization because they are the first compounds used as biomarkers due to the analytical easiness and their high concentration in bitumens and oils. Also their distribution can provide information about their origin. An indicative mass spectra of a $n$-alkane, specifically the dodecane, is presented above in Figure 39.

On the other hand the identification of the hopanes is based on their characteristic ion ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ) which is created by the separation of the $A$ and $B$ rings in their molecule (Figure 40). In Table the biomarkers used for the hopanes identification are presented.


Figure 40: Hopane characteristic structure


Figure 41: Creation of $m / z 191$

| Biomarker | Abbreviation |
| :--- | :--- |
| C19-tricyclic terpane | C19-tri |
| C20- tricyclic terpane | C20-tri |
| C21-tricyclic terpane | C22-tri |
| C22- tricyclic terpane | C23-tri |
| C23- tricyclic terpane | C24-tri |
| C24- tricyclic terpane | C25-triS,R |
| C25- tricyclic terpane S,R | C24-tetra |
| C24-tetracyclic terpane | C26-triS,R |
| C26- tricyclic terpane S,R |  |


| 18a(H)-21ß,29,30-trisnorhopane | Ts |
| :---: | :---: |
| 17a(H)-22,29,30-trisnorhopane | Tm |
| C29-moretane | C29-moretane |
| C30-hopane | C30-hopane |
| C30-moretane | C30-moretane |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22S-homohopane | C31-S |
| $17 \alpha(H), 21 \beta(H)$-22R-homohopane | C31-R |
| Gammacerane | Gammacerane |
| 17 $\alpha(H), 21 \beta(H)$-22S-bishomohopane | C32-S |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22R-bishomohopane | C32-R |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22S-trishomohopane | C33-S |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22R-trishomohopane | C33-R |
| $17 \alpha(H), 21 \beta(H)$-22S-tetrakishomohopane | C34-S |
| 17 $\alpha(H), 21 \beta(H)$-22R-tetrakishomohopane | C34-R |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22S-pentakishomohopane | C35-S |
| 17 $\alpha(\mathrm{H}), 21 \beta(\mathrm{H})$-22R-pentakishomohopane | C35-R |

Table 2: List of the hopane biomarkers used in this study
The manually identified n -alkanes of the standard sample and the hopanes of the A2 sample are presented in the following figures.


Figure 42: Identified n-alkanes of sample A2


Figure 43: Identified hopanes of sample D2

As mentioned before, the results of the Identification - Quantification step of the Malcom, were exported in Excel files and summary tables of all the samples' area were generated. On these files several classification methods were applied. Following the results of each method used in Malcom are presented.

### 4.2 Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

Initially PCA was applied in three different datasets that were created. The values that were used are the original ones (the areas of the compounds), since PCA uses its own normalization: the mean value is subtracted from the data and then division of the data follows with the standard deviation. The three datasets (datasets 1-3) include:

1. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the areas of the whole range of the n -alkanes ( $\mathrm{C}_{11}-\mathrm{C}_{35}$ ) and isoprenoids ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ).
2. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the areas of the range of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{n}$-alkanes and isoprenoids ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ).
3. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the areas of the hopanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 191). It has to be noted that the oil family B was excluded because of its lack on biomarkers.

At first PCA was applied in the $n$-alkanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ) data (dataset 1 ) and the classification of the oil families was sufficient, as presented in Figure 44.


Figure 44: Crossplot of the first two PCs for dataset $1(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 85)$
The parameters chosen for this model are shown in Figure 45 and they remain the same for all the datasets used in PCA. For more details, all the Principal Components are presented in the Appendix "Principal Component Analysis (PCA)".


Figure 45: Parameters of Data reduction - PCA
Next the data of the $n$-alkanes were reduced, since this time we used only the selected areas of the hydrocarbon range of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{35}$. Likewise, the separation of the oil families was also sufficient but this time the samples on the crossplot seem to be more spreaded (Figure 46).


Figure 46: Crossplot of the first two PCs for dataset 2 (selected m/z 85)
The classification of the families in the third dataset ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ) was efficient. The four different families were separated successfully as presented in Figure 47.


Figure 47: : Crossplot of the first two PCs for dataset 3 ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 191)

### 4.3 Ascendant Hierarchical Classification (AHC)

The AHC classification method was also applied on the samples. This classification method was repeated for 4 different aggregation methods: Ward, Link's Average, Link's Complete and Link's Simple aggregation methods. In this method the data were normalized manually, since the method itself does not provide any normalization. The normalization used is the same one that PCA uses, which means the mean value was subtracted from the data and later on the division with the standard deviation followed.

The next three datasets (datasets 4-6) created for the AHC method include:
4. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the normalized areas of the whole range of the $n$-alkanes ( $C_{11}-C_{35}$ ) and isoprenoids ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ).
5. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the normalized areas of the range of $\mathrm{C}_{15}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{n}$-alkanes and isoprenoids ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ).
6. Variables derived from SFH compositional data, specifically the normalized areas of the hopanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ). It has to be noted that the oil family $B$ was excluded again because of its lack on biomarkers.

### 4.3.1 Ward Aggregation Method

At first AHC using the Ward's aggregation method was applied on the Datasets 4-6. For the Dataset $4(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 85)$ the dedrogram as well as the results of the classification are presented in Figure 48 and Figure 49 respectively.


Figure 48: Dedrogram using the Ward aggregation method for Dataset 4

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| B1 | 3 | NA |
| A1 | 3 | NA |
| A2 | 4 | NA |
| B2 | 3 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 5 | NA |
| C3 | 5 | NA |
| C4 | 5 | NA |
| D4 | 2 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 1 | NA |
| Number of groups | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |

Figure 49: Results of Ward aggregation method for Dataset 4
The separation of the oil families seems to be sufficient, but in a few samples there seems to be a discrepancy.

Next, AHC was applied on the Dataset 5 (selected $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 85$ ) and the dedrogram as well as the results of the method are presented in the following figures.


Figure 50: Dedrogram using the Ward aggregation method for Dataset 5

|  | AHC_Group |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC Probability |  |
| D1 |  |  |
| D2 | 1 | NA |
| B1 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 2 | NA |
| A2 | 2 | NA |
| B2 | 3 | NA |
| D3 | 2 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 1 | NA |
| C3 | 4 | NA |
| C4 | 4 | NA |
| D4 | 4 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 2 | NA |
| E2 | 5 | NA |
| E3 | 5 | NA |
| E4 | 5 | NA |
| E5 | 5 | NA |
| D6 | 5 | NA |
| A3 | 2 | NA |
| Number of groups | 3 | NA |
|  | 5 |  |

Figure 51: Results of Ward aggregation method for Dataset 5
As well as before, the separation of the families seems to be sufficient, with some of the samples deviating from their original class.

Lastly, the AHC for the Dataset 6 (m/z 191) took place using again the Ward's aggregation method. As mentioned before, this dataset contains 4 out of the 5 oil families, since the oil family $B$ has been removed.


Figure 52: Dedrogram using the Ward aggregation method for Dataset 6

|  | AHC_Group |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AHC_Probability |  |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 3 | NA |
| A2 | 1 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 1 | NA |
| C3 | 1 | NA |
| C4 | 1 | NA |
| D4 | 1 | NA |
| D5 | 1 | NA |
| E1 | 4 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 4 | NA |
| E5 | 4 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 3 | NA |
| Number of groups | 4 |  |
|  |  |  |

Figure 53: Results of Ward aggregation method for Dataset 5
This time the classification of the oil families is not as sufficient as desired. That happens because the hopanes ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 191$ ) contain less information than the n alkanes (m/z 85).

### 4.3.2 Average Links Aggregation Method

Next AHC was applied on the Datasets 4-6. using the Link's Average aggregation method For the Dataset $4(\mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{z} 85)$ the dedrogram as well as the results of the classification are presented in Figure 54 and Figure 55 respectively.


Figure 54: Dedrogram using the Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 4

| AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| B1 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 2 | NA |
| A2 | 3 | NA |
| B2 | 2 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 4 | NA |
| C3 | 4 | NA |
| C4 | 4 | NA |
| D4 | 2 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 5 | NA |
| Number of groups | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |

Figure 55: Results of Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 4

As we can see from the figures above, this method gives slightly worse results compared to the previous method. This happens because the Link's average aggregation method produced a higher number of inaccuracies in the oil families classification.


Figure 56: Dedrogram using the Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 5

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| B1 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 2 | NA |
| A2 | 3 | NA |
| B2 | 2 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 4 | NA |
| C3 | 4 | NA |
| C4 | 4 | NA |
| D4 | 2 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 5 | NA |
| Number of groups | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |

Figure 57: Results of Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 5

The same method, using the dataset of the selected $n$-alkanes (range of $\mathrm{C}_{15}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{30}$ ), resulted in an identical classification to the classification of Dataset 4. It has to be noted that even though the classification lead to the same result, the dedrograms of the two datasets, are not the same.


Figure 58: Dedrogram using the Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 6

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 1 | NA |
| A2 | 1 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 1 | NA |
| C3 | 1 | NA |
| C4 | 1 | NA |
| D4 | 1 | NA |
| D5 | 1 | NA |
| E1 | 3 | NA |
| E2 | 3 | NA |
| E3 |  | 1 |
| NA |  |  |
| E5 | 3 | NA |
| D6 | 3 | NA |
| A3 | 2 | NA |
| Number of groups |  | 4 |

Figure 59: Results of Link's Average aggregation method for Dataset 6
As we can see from the figures above, this method gives slightly worse results than the previous two datasets. This happens because the Link's average aggregation method produced a higher number of errors in the oil families classification.

### 4.3.3 Complete Links Aggregation Method

In this method, the number of errors in the oil family classification is the exact same as the Link's average classification methon. This occurs in both Datasets 4 and 5 as shown in Figures 60-63.


Figure 60: Dedrogram using the Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 4

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 | 1 | NA |
| D2 | 2 | NA |
| B1 | 2 | NA |
| A1 | 2 | NA |
| A2 | 3 | NA |
| B2 | 2 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 4 | NA |
| C3 | 4 | NA |
| C4 | 4 | NA |
| D4 | 2 | NA |
| D5 | 2 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| D6 | 2 | NA |
| A3 | 5 | NA |
| Number of groups | 5 |  |

Figure 61: Results of Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 4


Figure 62: Dedrogram using the Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 5

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 |  | 1 NA |
| D2 |  | 2 NA |
| B1 |  | 2 NA |
| A1 |  | 2 NA |
| A2 |  | 3 NA |
| B2 |  | 2 NA |
| D3 |  | 1 NA |
| C1 |  | 1 NA |
| C2 |  | 4 NA |
| C3 |  | 4 NA |
| C4 |  | 4 NA |
| D4 |  | 2 NA |
| D5 |  | 2 NA |
| E1 |  | 1 NA |
| E2 |  | 1 NA |
| E3 |  | 1 NA |
| E4 |  | 1 NA |
| E5 |  | 1 NA |
| D6 |  | 2 NA |
| A3 |  | 5 NA |
| Number of groups |  | 5 |

Figure 63: Results of Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 5
It is known that the Link's complete aggregation method works better with a small number of individuals. The result of the classification confirms this statements, since the number of errors is the second lowest (Figures 64-65).


Figure 64: Dedrogram using the Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 6

|  | AHC_Group | AHC Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 |  | 1 NA |
| D2 |  | 2 NA |
| A1 |  | 3 NA |
| A2 |  | 1 NA |
| D3 |  | 1 NA |
| C1 |  | 1 NA |
| C2 |  | 1 NA |
| C3 |  | 1 NA |
| C4 |  | 1 NA |
| D4 |  | 3 NA |
| D5 |  | 1 NA |
| E1 |  | 4 NA |
| E2 |  | 4 NA |
| E3 |  | 1 NA |
| E4 |  | 4 NA |
| E5 |  | 4 NA |
| D6 |  | 2 NA |
| A3 |  | 3 NA |
| Number of groups |  | 4 |

Figure 65: Results of Link's Complete aggregation method for Dataset 6

### 4.3.4 Simple Links Aggregation Method

The first dataset (4) used in the Link's simple aggregation method gave the same amount of errors as the previous Link's aggregation methods.


Figure 66: Dedrogram using the Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 4

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 |  | 1 NA |
| D2 |  | 2 NA |
| B1 |  | 2 NA |
| A1 |  | 2 NA |
| A2 |  | 3 NA |
| B2 |  | 2 NA |
| D3 |  | 1 NA |
| C1 |  | 1 NA |
| C2 |  | NA |
| C3 |  | 4 NA |
| C4 |  | 4 NA |
| D4 |  | 2 NA |
| D5 |  | 2 NA |
| E1 |  | 1 NA |
| E2 |  | 1 NA |
| E3 |  | 1 NA |
| E4 |  | 1 NA |
| E5 |  | 1 NA |
| D6 |  | 2 NA |
| A3 |  | 5 NA |
| Number of groups |  | 5 |

Figure 67: Results of Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 4
It should be underlined that this method works at its finest for a high number of individuals. This being said, it was expected to have more errors due to the fact that only 20 samples were used in this study.


Figure 68: Dedrogram using the Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 5

|  | AHC_Group |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Classification method | AHC_Probability |  |
| D1 |  |  |
| D2 | 1 | NA |
| B1 | 1 | NA |
| A1 | 1 | NA |
| A2 | 2 | NA |
| B2 | 3 | NA |
| D3 | 1 | NA |
| C1 | 1 | NA |
| C2 | 1 | NA |
| C3 | 4 | NA |
| C4 | 4 | NA |
| D4 | 4 | NA |
| D5 | 1 | NA |
| E1 | 1 | NA |
| E2 | 1 | NA |
| E3 | 1 | NA |
| E4 | 1 | NA |
| E5 | 1 | NA |
| A3 | 1 | NA |
| Number of groups | 1 | NA |
|  | 5 | NA |
|  | 5 |  |
|  |  |  |

Figure 69: Results of Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 5
Using this last dataset (6), Link's simple aggregation method produced the highest rate of errors. As displayed in the Figure 70 and Figure 71 it has the worst classification by far.


Figure 70: Dedrogram using the Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 6

|  | AHC_Group | AHC_Probability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Classification method | AHC |  |
| D1 |  | 1 NA |
| D2 |  | 2 NA |
| A1 |  | 1 NA |
| A2 |  | 1 NA |
| D3 |  | 1 NA |
| C1 |  | 1 NA |
| C2 |  | 1 NA |
| C3 |  | 1 NA |
| C4 |  | 1 NA |
| D4 |  | 1 NA |
| D5 |  | 1 NA |
| E1 |  | 1 NA |
| E2 |  | 1 NA |
| E3 |  | 1 NA |
| E4 |  | 1 NA |
| E5 |  | 1 NA |
| D6 |  | 3 NA |
| A3 |  | 4 NA |
| Number of qroups | 4 | 4 |

Figure 71: Results of Link's Simple aggregation method for Dataset 6

### 4.4 Sammon Mapping

Lastly the Sammon mapping method took place in this study. The datasets used in this method are the same ones used in the AHC method, which means
datasets 4-6 were used. The projection of the data in a 2 D space had a great result, since the oil families were well separated. That happened again in the $n$-alkanes successfully while failing in the hopane model.


Figure 72: Sammon map of Dataset 4


Figure 73: Sammon map of Dataset 5


Figure 74: Sammon map of Dataset 6

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

After taking all of the above into consideration a comparison table can be easily built.

First of all the worst classification as presented in Table 3 is the Link's simple aggregation method. As mentioned before only 20 samples were used and this method favors the datasets composed of a high number of indivuduals. This is the reason that this method produces the worst results.

Link's average and complete aggregation method are similar, with one difference. Complete aggregation method shows a better result when dataset 6 is used. This kind of result is expected, since the complete aggregation method favors a small number of individuals, while the average aggregation method favors the intermediate ones.

In conclusion, the best method to be used in Ascending Hierarchical Classification is the Ward aggregation method with the minimum number of errors. That happens because the Ward method relies on a minimal inertia criterion, nearly independent of the number of individuals in the group.

|  | PCA |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ward | Average | Complete | Simple | Sammon |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dataset 1 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dataset 2 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dataset 3 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dataset 4 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dataset 5 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dataset 6 | - | $\checkmark$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{x}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Comparison table of the methods used
As far as the PCA is concerned, the classification is sufficient, with the n -alkanes datasets (dataset 1-2) to be more accurate than the hopane dataset (dataset 3). Even though dataset 3 is less accurate, the classification is sufficient.

Finally the Sammon Mapping method produced a good separation in the n alkanes datasets (dataset 4-5) while using dataset 6 , it lacked accuracy.
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## APPENDICES

Identification - Quantification

| Variable | 1001276 | 1001312 | L00515 | L00549 | 100550 | L00554 | L00558 | 100559 | 100672 | 100732 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0 | 1930 | 0 | 0 | 485 | 522 | 461 |
| C12 | 0 | 0 | 1485 | 0 | 4751 | 0 | 0 | 1074 | 1330 | 1228 |
| C13 | 3235 | 2963 | 5599 | 4942.37 | 22956.5 | 4555.98 | 2345 | 4744 | 4361 | 4470 |
| C14 | 43333.4 | 28720 | 65543.3 | 41964.5 | 303560 | 42080.4 | 42179.7 | 14737.8 | 17094.4 | 18158 |
| C15 | 191463 | 127127 | 168852 | 259104 | 616341 | 145587 | 138025 | 87202.3 | 66941.2 | 66703.1 |
| C16 | 236263 | 166837 | 191636 | 390742 | 706456 | 178222 | 194043 | 197506 | 147588 | 135008 |
| C17 | 274188 | 213215 | 181809 | 513207 | 535983 | 166496 | 204005 | 227616 | 182816 | 165590 |
| Pr | 85047.9 | 118865 | 81952.1 | 10890.1 | 823679 | 81137.9 | 72712.3 | 82767.9 | 61407.2 | 61135.5 |
| C18 | 237667 | 181755 | 165960 | 225786 | 408921 | 153014 | 213640 | 243024 | 194613 | 168609 |
| Ph | 125711 | 192270 | 75373.8 | 18757.3 | 48628.9 | 69652.9 | 93062.7 | 154307 | 116697 | 115305 |
| C19 | 227992 | 178947 | 155631 | 377229 | 592696 | 146107 | 219651 | 241666 | 197801 | 170179 |
| C20 | 210553 | 169723 | 130794 | 129153 | 271590 | 126307 | 213638 | 246184 | 194430 | 174180 |
| C21 | 208210 | 186403 | 118574 | 91167.6 | 211365 | 110801 | 209914 | 222921 | 172097 | 153546 |
| C22 | 211386 | 185024 | 97764.1 | 75951.3 | 186439 | 92299.8 | 209297 | 213421 | 167881 | 143184 |
| C23 | 208881 | 185323 | 78613.9 | 60314.5 | 165332 | 77126.9 | 194045 | 188917 | 146122 | 124404 |
| C24 | 206191 | 198082 | 59089.7 | 47216.2 | 150551 | 59750.3 | 197014 | 179320 | 134198 | 114662 |
| C25 | 188924 | 192195 | 48315.4 | 42638.8 | 123047 | 45797.8 | 173540 | 148026 | 111442 | 93770.9 |
| C26 | 166889 | 163696 | 34376.4 | 33140.2 | 104003 | 35475.3 | 157900 | 138023 | 101650 | 83976.9 |
| C27 | 148862 | 137014 | 25899.9 | 29075.9 | 85749.3 | 25473.6 | 131283 | 107301 | 75736.1 | 68137.3 |
| C28 | 114792 | 93886.8 | 18875.8 | 22222.8 | 65734 | 18368 | 114758 | 99334 | 67321.2 | 59964.7 |
| C29 | 85940.2 | 75889.3 | 13287.8 | 16640.1 | 52126.2 | 14179.8 | 82137.2 | 72928.5 | 53704 | 47362.1 |
| C30 | 69187.1 | 52588.1 | 9079.62 | 13221 | 39007.7 | 8976.31 | 68929.3 | 62834.7 | 44379.9 | 41125.5 |
| C31 | 46686.3 | 34575.4 | 6331.89 | 9167.28 | 27029.5 | 6295.51 | 39487 | 38608.9 | 28992.4 | 26660.8 |
| C32 | 29352.8 | 19476.3 | 3848.84 | 5619.29 | 17629.7 | 2972.65 | 22103.5 | 28617.2 | 18870 | 18472.9 |
| C33 | 17823.8 | 12218 | 2970.72 | 3169.73 | 9145.45 | 2026.27 | 11555.6 | 13379.9 | 11359.9 | 10418.1 |
| C34 | 9722.67 | 6857.49 | 1621.23 | 2004.82 | 5714.57 | 896.77 | 5754.91 | 7483.18 | 6197.48 | 6230.64 |
| C35 | 5104.59 | 3850.75 | 903.543 | 1005.71 | 3625.13 | 734.18 | 2853.29 | 3755.82 | 3211.76 | 3234.18 |

Table 4: IQ summary table of areas of $m / z 85$ (part 1)

| Variable | 100753 | L00755 | 100756 | 100811 | 100820 | 100829 | 100833 | 100839 | 100842 | 100920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1322 |
| C12 | 1119 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2534 |
| C13 | 4288 | 5367 | 8619 | 1994 | 2366 | 2583 | 3750 | 2056 | 3661 | 8544 |
| C14 | 16196 | 78645.5 | 109397 | 15073.9 | 16631.3 | 12838 | 15065.7 | 14547.5 | 16710.7 | 83187.6 |
| C15 | 49230 | 198478 | 235162 | 22575.2 | 22890.2 | 14973.8 | 17409.4 | 18443.2 | 74537.4 | 174940 |
| C16 | 133433 | 221333 | 239847 | 13680.5 | 13097.2 | 10559.1 | 12413.6 | 9534.15 | 129979 | 175771 |
| C17 | 178830 | 232693 | 260480 | 3704.79 | 7048.16 | 8558.13 | 10650 | 5369.17 | 183902 | 298464 |


| Pr | 57030.5 | 111733 | 93264.9 | 1759.88 | 4186.44 | 16821.7 | 22146.6 | 1568.57 | 131587 | 628094 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C 1 8}$ | 193939 | 212961 | 218483 | 3551.59 | 3441.46 | 6680.34 | 7878.2 | 2634.68 | 176307 | 320513 |
| $\mathbf{P h}$ | 107293 | 201758 | 140127 | 5403.52 | 7963.93 | 21654.7 | 27146.1 | 2830.14 | 221461 | 64359.6 |
| $\mathbf{C 1 9}$ | 189219 | 191341 | 214636 | 2533.46 | 2876.69 | 5480.04 | 7067.82 | 2065.06 | 166367 | 530771 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 0}$ | 188716 | 185002 | 187915 | 2771.25 | 2669.05 | 5472 | 6236.63 | 1759.5 | 167237 | 239357 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 1}$ | 173447 | 186495 | 197923 | 2058.02 | 1761.28 | 5145.74 | 6015.51 | 1452.49 | 174516 | 215595 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 2}$ | 163711 | 184687 | 184939 | 2832.11 | 1752.98 | 4946.15 | 5229.56 | 2118.67 | 170041 | 201554 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 3}$ | 144862 | 170075 | 181965 | 3068.3 | 2276.5 | 4072.56 | 4670.63 | 1609.6 | 172365 | 185031 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 4}$ | 125628 | 181663 | 176389 | 5039.76 | 3990.23 | 5397.22 | 5659.39 | 2165.11 | 180141 | 171010 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 5}$ | 104031 | 171724 | 165024 | 5797.65 | 4253.9 | 4621.65 | 4944.34 | 1981.48 | 174600 | 149150 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 6}$ | 92477.2 | 149918 | 145995 | 6137.07 | 4554.03 | 4554.94 | 4017.52 | 1642.09 | 145449 | 128474 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 7}$ | 72262.2 | 117284 | 120084 | 4552.41 | 3982.39 | 3921.23 | 3234.68 | 1796.5 | 125008 | 107953 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 8}$ | 65277.5 | 84221.9 | 87043 | 4573.14 | 3677.8 | 3695.61 | 2722.57 | 1766.77 | 77918.9 | 80992.8 |
| $\mathbf{C 2 9}$ | 50113.4 | 61036.5 | 62575 | 3289.77 | 2737.85 | 3135.1 | 3011.15 | 1711.19 | 62916 | 60934.9 |
| $\mathbf{C 3 0}$ | 41882.2 | 46339.9 | 45811.2 | 3053.11 | 2467.93 | 2292.28 | 2207.91 | 1726.63 | 41289 | 41806.8 |
| $\mathbf{C 3 1}$ | 28615.6 | 26499.6 | 28353.7 | 2321.1 | 1822.11 | 2150.98 | 1415.16 | 0 | 28542 | 30397.3 |
| C32 | 19853.5 | 13984.2 | 14567.3 | 1334.07 | 0 | 1723.96 | 1719.14 | 0 | 12674.7 | 17592.3 |
| $\mathbf{C 3 3}$ | 11300.2 | 7152.71 | 7128.97 | 1218.97 | 0 | 0 | 1181.53 | 0 | 8050.39 | 9307.47 |
| $\mathbf{C 3 4}$ | 6800.51 | 3441.24 | 4250.49 | 1015.94 | 1321.77 | 0 | 1371.38 | 856.811 | 3583.99 | 5560.81 |
| $\mathbf{C 3 5}$ | 4488.56 | 1824.12 | 2119.86 | 903.626 | 0 | 0 | 1279.96 | 0 | 2373.29 | 3103.27 |

Table 5: IQ summary table of areas of $m / z 85$ (part 2)

| Variable | 1001276 | 1001312 | L00515 | L00549 | L00550 | L00554 | 100558 | 100559 | 100672 | 100732 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C19-tri | 155.794 | 147.612 | 0 | 69.8631 | 150.511 | 0 | 110.042 | 46.8137 | 137.374 | 78.5979 |
| C20-tri | 119.163 | 256.202 | 0 | 72.2067 | 145.634 | 0 | 128.158 | 129.168 | 173.545 | 292.637 |
| C21-tri | 129.876 | 195.026 | 0 | 195.703 | 111.451 | 0 | 119.437 | 156.147 | 214.194 | 236.429 |
| C22-tri | 83.8318 | 143.651 | 0 | 32.3876 | 65.9083 | 0 | 90.1404 | 165.508 | 118.977 | 119.002 |
| C23-tri | 171.633 | 381.981 | 15707.1 | 50.6325 | 98.6856 | 14642.3 | 251.071 | 668.948 | 573.44 | 548.186 |
| C24-tri | 121.428 | 237.588 | 0 | 66.2348 | 66.55 | 0 | 174.215 | 212.608 | 309.452 | 324.354 |
| C25-triS,R | 141.185 | 271.376 | 0 |  | 61.8986 | 0 | 187.544 | 293.552 | 310.679 | 305.673 |
| C24-tetra | 391.816 | 864.215 | 0 | 101.276 | 266.949 | 0 | 511.128 | 240.998 | 255.687 | 274.621 |
| C26-triS,R | 38.7337 | 142.149 | 0 | 18.5411 | 47.2241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ts | 512.03 | 965.077 | 0 | 110.556 | 412.221 | 0 | 625.203 | 211.612 | 219.653 | 218.677 |
| Tm | 490.479 | 1885.9 | 0 | 182.195 | 492.413 | 0 | 632.695 | 644.551 | 336.741 | 321.884 |
| C29-moretane | 244.817 | 768.58 | 0 | 111.238 | 167.091 | 0 | 215.259 | 113.201 | 125.819 | 121.013 |
| C30-hopane | 2067.01 | 8776.97 | 0 | 547.845 | 1363.61 | 0 | 2195.72 | 1152.06 | 854 | 956.9 |
| C30-moretane | 248.832 | 951.32 | 0 | 89.0156 | 201.831 | 0 | 224.638 | 126.117 | 133.345 | 129.933 |
| C31-S | 647.102 | 3151.84 | 0 | 209.69 | 544.065 | 0 | 941.461 | 618.423 | 385.653 | 419.455 |
| C31-R | 617.49 | 2535.83 | 0 | 172.59 | 414.646 | 0 | 727.492 | 537.822 | 319.126 | 324.777 |
| Gammacerane | 295.75 | 112.445 | 0 | 51.477 | 30.2502 | 0 | 541.177 | 394.846 | 276.903 | 133.363 |
| C32-S | 413.54 | 2037.67 | 0 | 151.59 | 379.217 | 0 | 840.547 | 521.895 | 315.505 | 307.132 |
| C32-R | 350.724 | 1639.04 | 0 | 133.57 | 328.178 | 0 | 721.989 | 374.079 | 224.543 | 251.588 |
| C33-S | 269.093 | 1146.64 | 0 | 98.8561 | 239.053 | 0 | 505.419 | 290.198 | 251.394 | 260.815 |
| C33-R | 229.677 | 861.165 | 0 | 93.6509 | 209.003 | 0 | 431.129 | 225.005 | 194.512 | 133.868 |


| C34-S | 450.673 | 2214.78 | 0 | 79.7592 | 276.725 | 0 | 610.096 | 253.192 | 166.056 | 209.029 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| C34-R | 339.991 | 1637.08 | 0 | 72.5696 | 153.934 | 0 | 417.608 | 166.897 | 114.886 | 139.709 |
| C35-S | 220.827 | 680.326 | 0 | 31.3142 | 117.125 | 0 | 546.435 | 362.732 | 207.963 | 202.633 |
| C35-R | 175.132 | 560.964 | 0 | 0 | 57.5202 | 0 | 300.646 | 201.25 | 100.194 | 106.915 |

Table 6: IQ summery table of $m / z 191$ areas (part 1)

| Variable | 100753 | L00755 | L00756 | 100811 | 100820 | 100829 | 100833 | L00839 | 100842 | 100920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C19-tri | 100.463 | 172.552 | 158.926 | 96.3988 | 84.3052 | 95.1054 | 102.509 | 64.5051 | 113.17 | 234.345 |
| C20-tri | 188.459 | 169.521 | 147.785 | 193.117 | 328.351 | 281.864 | 205.442 | 203.987 | 263.168 | 359.674 |
| C21-tri | 216.291 | 141.421 | 113.056 | 360.029 | 302.411 | 269.559 | 317.384 | 331.416 | 187.65 | 157.312 |
| C22-tri | 138.01 | 76.2091 | 85.4142 | 208.469 | 158.352 | 130.343 | 245.942 | 160.69 | 159.311 | 70.5241 |
| C23-tri | 540.953 | 249.361 | 188.691 | 800.843 | 778.582 | 638.31 | 736.463 | 814.138 | 458.953 | 217.432 |
| C24-tri | 319.875 | 170.288 | 133.528 | 518.015 | 496.223 | 401.176 | 450.514 | 524.301 | 240.203 | 140.198 |
| C25-triS,R | 300.433 | 184.396 | 122.987 | 454.419 | 435.138 | 373.487 | 460.434 | 481.443 | 305.893 | 207.301 |
| C24-tetra | 249.609 | 744.311 | 453.484 | 331.921 | 304.24 | 275.742 | 308.02 | 355.58 | 780.298 | 737.98 |
| C26-triS,R | 0 | 65.8766 | 133.301 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94.6577 |
| Ts | 175.207 | 965.853 | 615.75 | 349.107 | 305.278 | 237.401 | 302.966 | 328.45 | 1025.87 | 810.538 |
| Tm | 328.02 | 790.802 | 466.112 | 435.901 | 400.521 | 324.464 | 375.658 | 442.111 | 2190.92 | 1407.16 |
| C29-moretane | 127.335 | 420.033 | 275.899 | 233.641 | 222.175 | 206.002 | 190.42 | 184.795 | 884.609 | 407.845 |
| C30-hopane | 942.794 | 3812.37 | 1974.68 | 1353.8 | 1287.38 | 981.41 | 1048.72 | 1299.69 | 9814.95 | 4381.77 |
| C30-moretane | 113.187 | 380.647 | 188.741 | 208.418 | 184.779 | 177.673 | 209.101 | 162.903 | 1216.97 | 636.068 |
| C31-S | 416.711 | 1268.19 | 651.343 | 650.87 | 583.747 | 439.476 | 509.134 | 584.605 | 3835.52 | 1789.34 |
| C31-R | 326.406 | 1128.64 | 520.964 | 493.499 | 450.847 | 321.33 | 401.569 | 457.685 | 2925.72 | 1405.32 |
| Gammacerane | 115.072 | 144.413 | 110.738 | 167.701 | 167.79 | 130.177 | 139.3 | 137.801 | 257.564 | 244.842 |
| C32-S | 299.45 | 947.868 | 475.989 | 409.117 | 405.388 | 333.231 | 350.353 | 360.065 | 2564.05 | 1223.38 |
| C32-R | 223.427 | 752.427 | 446.237 | 343.975 | 369.936 | 315.315 | 269.647 | 338.066 | 1984.61 | 1042.2 |
| C33-S | 249.536 | 479.624 | 288.776 | 389.087 | 363.638 | 277.75 | 276.872 | 351.048 | 1557.8 | 766.062 |
| C33-R | 205.516 | 462.076 | 276.112 | 288.131 | 297.892 | 252.584 | 233.366 | 291.264 | 1157.32 | 598.498 |
| C34-S | 149.319 | 815.179 | 433.301 | 257.094 | 254.01 | 149.43 | 213.773 | 258.982 | 2587.01 | 760.07 |
| C34-R | 115.423 | 753.899 | 270.244 | 201.871 | 156.556 | 170.574 | 190.586 | 203.317 | 1825.8 | 641.259 |
| C35-S | 185.271 | 364.732 | 170.482 | 273.795 | 199.751 | 165.799 | 214.151 | 313.943 | 977.006 | 401.11 |
| C35-R | 99.2128 | 341.525 | 167.312 | 170.191 | 179.104 | 138.904 | 97.149 | 214.839 | 761.541 | 168.696 |

Table 7: IQ summery table of $m / z 191$ areas (part 2)
Principal Component Analysis (PCA)

| Variable | A1 | A2 | A3 | B1 | B2 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PC1 | 1.4723 | -5.99558 | -4.05848 | 2.16299 | 2.33846 | -3.20154 | -0.86587 | -0.00259 | -0.59656 | -3.68371 | -2.54495 |
| PC2 | 2.69186 | 8.1102 | 0.977267 | 1.13867 | 0.810176 | -1.83901 | -1.0343 | -0.83767 | -1.00064 | -1.82368 | -2.12557 |
| PC3 | 1.93046 | 0.07309 | -2.51394 | 0.11326 | 0.130251 | -0.04337 | -0.15796 | -0.06739 | -0.23754 | 0.355285 | 0.492905 |
| PC4 | -2.3018 | 1.10824 | -1.30399 | 0.081657 | -0.12666 | -0.44452 | -0.27658 | -0.15202 | -0.35506 | -0.22383 | 0.66211 |
| PC5 | -0.10023 | 0.27834 | -0.64846 | -0.58252 | -0.59599 | 0.048008 | -0.02784 | -0.14595 | -0.07489 | 0.954835 | -0.42331 |
| PC6 | -0.45387 | 0.061539 | -0.65547 | 0.669037 | 0.547041 | 0.694169 | 0.646258 | 0.512324 | 0.717545 | -0.31483 | -0.62267 |


| PC7 | -0.11914 | 0.108454 | -0.06861 | -0.02281 | 0.153195 | 0.16699 | -0.05205 | 0.069358 | 0.057203 | -0.00536 | -0.21386 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PC8 | 0.068705 | 0.17914 | -0.13826 | -0.41193 | -0.39282 | 0.231121 | 0.201719 | 0.243491 | 0.206502 | -0.0899 | 0.155255 |
| PC9 | -0.03814 | 0.023492 | -0.03664 | 0.045924 | 0.239052 | -0.07334 | 0.002766 | -0.11729 | 0.027053 | 0.141277 | 0.233771 |
| PC10 | -0.00017 | 0.007838 | -0.00916 | 0.014091 | 0.060556 | 0.121911 | -0.12664 | 0.040023 | -0.15212 | 0.021029 | -0.11643 |
| PC11 | 0.002011 | 0.001548 | -0.00237 | -0.01132 | 0.015762 | -0.01957 | 0.162362 | -0.03951 | -0.11289 | -0.00084 | -0.04953 |
| PC12 | -0.01463 | -0.00225 | 0.005094 | 0.005446 | 0.000702 | -0.01027 | 0.015438 | 0.052442 | -0.03331 | 0.091207 | -0.00416 |
| PC13 | 0.001496 | 0.000148 | -0.00171 | 0.043476 | -0.03617 | -0.07046 | 0.013124 | 0.054 | 0.008027 | 0.003652 | -0.00829 |
| PC14 | 0.002821 | -0.00017 | 0.000696 | -0.04092 | 0.036598 | -0.01915 | 0.012555 | 0.042377 | -0.02154 | -0.0424 | 0.025319 |
| PC15 | 0.000662 | -0.00124 | 0.000817 | 0.034101 | -0.02426 | 0.029784 | 0.000835 | 0.007349 | -0.03533 | -0.01548 | 0.034573 |
| PC16 | 0.001586 | $-5.33 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.00078 | 0.012749 | -0.00868 | -0.00255 | 0.002985 | -0.01218 | 0.005357 | -0.00486 | -0.00306 |
| PC17 | 0.00024 | -0.00029 | $2.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.004001 | -0.00077 | -0.00435 | -0.0029 | 0.005188 | -0.00239 | -0.00013 | -0.00134 |
| PC18 | 0.000324 | $-6.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-9.61 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.003345 | -0.00278 | -0.00134 | -0.00312 | -0.0018 | 0.004571 | -0.00179 | 0.002386 |
| PC19 | $-4.31 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-6.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-1.16 \mathrm{E}-06$ | -0.00093 | 0.001257 | 0.00039 | -0.00464 | 0.004468 | 0.00043 | 0.000348 | $6.86 \mathrm{E}-05$ |

Table 8: Principal Components of Dataset 1 (part 1)

| Variable | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E5 | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | -3.46697 | -2.394 | -2.94582 | -2.1073 | 5.24722 | 5.19785 | 5.10156 | 5.07924 | 5.26374 | 0.652717 |
| PC2 | -2.12131 | -1.57847 | -0.57714 | -2.1965 | 0.208889 | 0.373187 | 0.324639 | 0.117766 | 0.381627 | 0.256313 |
| PC3 | -0.23756 | 0.795071 | 0.418974 | 0.445131 | -0.29483 | -0.31201 | -0.31354 | -0.23364 | -0.34264 | 0.030139 |
| PC4 | -0.23221 | 0.603861 | 0.638647 | 0.774002 | 0.244414 | 0.345915 | 0.366887 | 0.342815 | 0.248117 | 0.0277 |
| PC5 | 1.40073 | -0.4477 | 0.276021 | -1.1616 | 0.371732 | 0.289255 | 0.125789 | 0.13161 | 0.332154 | 0.015692 |
| PC6 | -0.04875 | -0.48567 | 0.177967 | -0.38178 | -0.30469 | -0.1848 | -0.11681 | -0.3471 | -0.10945 | 0.010597 |
| PC7 | 0.150976 | 0.672526 | -0.70719 | -0.16997 | 0.175799 | -0.14254 | -0.17659 | 0.222601 | -0.099 | 0.003212 |
| PC8 | -0.13435 | -0.27205 | -0.39696 | 0.246879 | -0.02807 | 0.053319 | 0.148038 | 0.068462 | 0.061697 | 0.002382 |
| PC9 | 0.063152 | -0.20659 | -0.20372 | 0.00896 | -0.00883 | -0.03791 | -0.0367 | -0.00475 | -0.02155 | 0.000622 |
| PC10 | 0.072827 | -0.06363 | -0.05065 | 0.163556 | 0.024976 | 0.054864 | 0.00368 | -0.10882 | 0.04226 | 0.000324 |
| PC11 | 0.021245 | -0.0103 | -0.00056 | 0.041524 | 0.038942 | -0.0593 | 0.061708 | 0.030092 | -0.06901 | 0.000152 |
| PC12 | -0.07075 | 0.016262 | -0.01619 | -0.0315 | -0.02037 | 0.034631 | 0.023037 | -0.02879 | -0.01204 | $5.61 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC13 | 0.025414 | -0.01147 | -0.01543 | 0.02697 | 0.032073 | -0.0069 | -0.04352 | -0.01592 | 0.001491 | $4.09 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC14 | 0.024773 | 0.011299 | 0.00463 | -0.02209 | -0.00902 | 0.024766 | -0.00094 | -0.02676 | -0.00285 | $2.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC15 | 0.001757 | -0.00533 | -0.00566 | -0.02431 | 0.00592 | -0.00268 | -0.00685 | 0.005404 | -6.11E-05 | $1.54 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC16 | 0.011652 | 0.001869 | -0.00767 | 0.004725 | -0.01673 | 0.037556 | 0.002818 | 0.0028 | -0.02755 | 7.88E-06 |
| PC17 | 0.006013 | 0.000488 | -0.00241 | 0.00089 | -0.01614 | -0.00673 | 0.008751 | 0.006654 | 0.005206 | $1.44 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PC18 | 0.002346 | 0.002839 | -0.00257 | -0.00178 | 0.005065 | -0.0043 | 0.014125 | -0.01227 | -0.00318 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| PC19 | -0.00011 | -0.00245 | 0.001901 | -0.00018 | 0.002499 | -0.00174 | 0.001631 | 0.004537 | -0.00736 | $3.52 \mathrm{E}-07$ |

Table 9: Principal Components of Dataset 1 (part 2)

| Variable | A1 | A2 | A3 | B1 | B2 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D1 | D2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 1.6333 | -4.59546 | -3.90682 | 2.3221 | 2.39399 | -3.42024 | -0.98898 | -0.11966 | -0.72878 | -3.90542 | -2.78176 |
| PC2 | 2.97451 | 6.98946 | 1.35521 | 0.735069 | 0.617736 | -1.33259 | -0.85938 | -0.72872 | -0.81825 | -1.25919 | -1.77073 |
| PC3 | -1.76534 | -0.10258 | 2.5936 | -0.15096 | -0.13952 | 0.033172 | 0.124038 | 0.039959 | 0.21448 | -0.30667 | -0.53105 |
| PC4 | -1.87364 | 1.16386 | -0.76861 | 0.004566 | -0.05363 | -0.45774 | -0.32525 | -0.1726 | -0.39209 | -0.33371 | 0.708917 |
| PC5 | 0.348749 | -0.47637 | 0.808349 | 0.592524 | 0.604834 | -0.01095 | 0.043197 | 0.148421 | 0.110364 | -0.9057 | 0.304226 |


| PC6 | -0.60576 | 0.210864 | -0.63444 | 0.625106 | 0.585604 | 0.653551 | 0.545152 | 0.465099 | 0.64937 | -0.3124 | -0.61621 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC7 | 0.103356 | 0.113175 | -0.07552 | -0.37672 | -0.41676 | 0.234057 | 0.16307 | 0.259587 | 0.212852 | -0.14187 | 0.025813 |
| PC8 | 0.006112 | -0.01272 | 0.022054 | -0.00074 | -0.04648 | 0.12146 | -0.10955 | 0.080772 | -0.16918 | -0.03898 | -0.26345 |
| PC9 | $7.41 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.007556 | -0.01127 | 0.011523 | 0.067584 | 0.060551 | -0.17695 | 0.025617 | 0.033516 | 0.03065 | 0.051776 |
| PC10 | -0.01424 | -0.00824 | 0.013352 | -0.01101 | 0.001778 | -0.02317 | -0.04133 | 0.033783 | 0.052215 | 0.051836 | 0.025801 |
| PC11 | -0.00339 | 0.001444 | -0.00231 | -0.02117 | 0.073056 | -0.00011 | 0.056132 | 0.002968 | -0.0821 | 0.041658 | 0.015906 |
| PC12 | -0.00456 | -0.00024 | -0.0003 | 0.048442 | -0.03984 | -0.06135 | 0.016117 | 0.063878 | -0.00507 | 0.045563 | -0.01332 |
| PC13 | -0.00662 | 0.000293 | 0.000114 | 0.025278 | -0.01855 | 0.043385 | -0.0072 | -0.03409 | -0.00671 | 0.062375 | -0.01529 |
| PC14 | 0.000954 | -0.00139 | 0.001107 | 0.032154 | -0.02516 | 0.025788 | 0.000168 | 0.008818 | -0.03132 | -0.0203 | 0.03402 |
| PC15 | 0.002018 | -0.0002 | -0.00077 | 0.017483 | -0.01248 | -0.00324 | 0.001984 | -0.01633 | 0.008265 | -0.00675 | -0.00366 |
| PC16 | 0.000334 | $-8.68 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-7.43 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.002789 | -0.00096 | -0.00103 | 0.000447 | -0.00648 | 0.002434 | 0.000834 | -0.00465 |
| PC17 | -0.00015 | 0.000271 | $-1.73 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.00306 | $-6.57 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 0.003967 | 0.003309 | -0.00638 | 0.002934 | -0.00039 | 0.001413 |
| PC18 | -0.00031 | 0.000101 | $3.84 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.00268 | 0.00144 | 0.001148 | 0.004199 | 0.001055 | -0.00401 | 0.000713 | -0.0002 |

Table 10: Principal Components of Dataset 2 (part 1)

| Variable | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E5 | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | -3.72518 | -2.60372 | -2.82282 | -2.35121 | 5.15248 | 5.17186 | 5.07304 | 4.97446 | 5.22882 | 0.699042 |
| PC2 | -1.5719 | -1.17021 | -0.82482 | -1.89384 | -0.07698 | -0.06991 | -0.11204 | -0.14803 | -0.03538 | 0.214023 |
| PC3 | 0.292678 | -0.73707 | -0.50249 | -0.5209 | 0.317224 | 0.288425 | 0.275813 | 0.251465 | 0.325721 | 0.033106 |
| PC4 | -0.45702 | 0.620799 | 0.147334 | 0.916678 | 0.214924 | 0.244933 | 0.30076 | 0.355921 | 0.155577 | 0.023265 |
| PC5 | -1.31659 | 0.435468 | -0.28257 | 1.00064 | -0.38111 | -0.32532 | -0.18231 | -0.16022 | -0.35564 | 0.016968 |
| PC6 | -0.00909 | -0.15869 | -0.10924 | -0.37918 | -0.19329 | -0.21479 | -0.16237 | -0.20825 | -0.13103 | 0.010385 |
| PC7 | -0.12372 | -0.00583 | -0.38194 | 0.139854 | 0.003693 | 0.023142 | 0.089936 | 0.11857 | 0.03525 | 0.002123 |
| PC8 | 0.04189 | 0.203127 | 0.028465 | 0.068456 | 0.05165 | 0.044589 | 0.004527 | -0.0512 | 0.019185 | 0.000533 |
| PC9 | 0.044955 | -0.06053 | -0.11217 | 0.045614 | -0.01146 | 0.054599 | -0.06484 | -0.05774 | 0.060945 | 0.000222 |
| PC10 | -0.0644 | 0.125613 | -0.02059 | -0.12128 | -0.03071 | 0.031858 | -0.01753 | 0.01456 | 0.001699 | 0.000132 |
| PC11 | 0.021626 | 0.022606 | -0.10238 | -0.00804 | 0.018702 | -0.0071 | 0.012316 | 0.009347 | -0.04916 | 8.97E-05 |
| PC12 | -0.01024 | -0.01674 | -0.016 | 0.021595 | 0.021223 | 0.005013 | -0.02715 | -0.02569 | -0.00132 | $4.81 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC13 | -0.0492 | -0.01917 | -0.00505 | 0.009024 | -0.0076 | -0.00596 | 0.024406 | 0.014408 | -0.00384 | 3.36E-05 |
| PC14 | 0.003337 | -0.0022 | -0.00141 | -0.02571 | 0.005764 | -0.00505 | -0.00746 | 0.003247 | 0.00464 | 1.61E-05 |
| PC15 | 0.014783 | 0.004981 | -0.00996 | 0.004937 | -0.01385 | 0.032379 | -0.00127 | 0.007408 | -0.02572 | 8.89E-06 |
| PC16 | 0.003261 | 0.00391 | -0.00426 | 0.003125 | 0.003582 | -0.00905 | -0.01862 | 0.018723 | 0.005767 | 2.67E-06 |
| PC17 | -0.00532 | 0.000594 | 0.00168 | -0.00103 | 0.016007 | 0.006114 | -0.00843 | -0.00757 | -0.00389 | 1.55E-06 |
| PC18 | -0.00271 | -0.00203 | 0.002407 | 0.000299 | -0.00541 | 0.00641 | -0.00504 | 0.000504 | 0.004069 | 4.89E-07 |

Table 11: Principal Components of Dataset 2 (part 2)

| Variable | A1 | A2 | A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D1 | D2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | -3.63489 | -1.67111 | 3.90641 | -1.85579 | -2.40515 | -2.46997 | -2.60323 | -0.79315 | 8.3351 |
| PC2 | -2.64059 | -2.93923 | -1.61596 | 0.338567 | -0.08622 | 0.795522 | 0.374943 | -2.51678 | 0.620051 |
| PC3 | 0.060569 | 1.02538 | 1.71784 | -2.74497 | -0.44764 | 0.616833 | 0.222833 | -0.61873 | 0.681038 |
| PC4 | 2.30807 | 0.842453 | -2.2816 | 0.06636 | -0.70212 | -0.06277 | 0.407275 | -0.38198 | 0.904719 |
| PC5 | -0.64999 | -0.03806 | -0.70055 | -0.37545 | 0.071638 | -1.16263 | -0.08947 | 0.496001 | 0.282514 |
| PC6 | 0.392029 | -0.54227 | -0.34398 | -0.663 | -0.28974 | 0.133895 | -0.32386 | -0.16159 | -0.04096 |


| PC7 | 0.604717 | -0.13117 | 0.409792 | -0.58768 | 0.495229 | -0.47071 | -0.13819 | 0.312162 | -0.17255 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| PC8 | 0.237941 | -0.0569 | 0.136735 | -0.07448 | -0.6054 | 0.215983 | -0.23705 | -0.01142 | -0.0426 |
| PC9 | -0.07015 | -0.19818 | -0.35256 | -0.25242 | 0.156875 | 0.128385 | -0.07634 | 0.436988 | 0.169262 |
| PC10 | -0.18079 | 0.316764 | -0.1514 | -0.29947 | -0.05895 | -0.11366 | 0.112557 | -0.15747 | -0.4117 |
| PC11 | -0.08877 | 0.060485 | -0.04492 | -0.20237 | 0.08226 | 0.236536 | 0.226957 | -0.07348 | 0.164833 |
| PC12 | 0.048691 | -0.06607 | 0.0247 | 0.006068 | -0.03809 | -0.18889 | 0.111915 | -0.11275 | 0.137155 |
| PC13 | 0.052685 | -0.07964 | -0.00305 | -0.0058 | 0.074618 | -0.01179 | 0.064178 | -0.10837 | -0.05791 |
| PC14 | -0.0172 | -0.01426 | 0.01811 | -0.00784 | -0.08027 | -0.05255 | 0.077057 | 0.105301 | -0.02615 |
| PC15 | -0.00301 | 0.08161 | -0.01739 | -0.00184 | 0.026367 | -0.04184 | -0.05005 | -0.01873 | 0.029325 |
| PC16 | -0.01288 | 0.012674 | -0.00217 | 0.004023 | 0.007428 | 0.007747 | -0.06512 | -0.00793 | 0.014719 |
| PC17 | 0.002129 | 0.002868 | 0.002283 | 0.002505 | 0.001928 | 0.002335 | 0.002741 | 0.00257 | 0.002561 |

Table 12: Principal Components of Dataset 3 (part 1)

| Variable | D3 | D4 | D5 | D6 | E1 | E2 | E3 | E4 | E5 | Quality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 0.712339 | 2.26491 | -0.68203 | 10.7235 | -1.73328 | -1.79131 | -2.23051 | -2.15681 | -1.91502 | 0.666869 |
| PC2 | -1.75436 | -2.01061 | -2.46856 | 1.50049 | 3.00277 | 2.62233 | 1.39271 | 2.68717 | 2.69775 | 0.185947 |
| PC3 | -2.87122 | 0.758988 | 0.686805 | -0.96992 | 0.054464 | 0.775948 | 0.848392 | 0.204633 | -0.00124 | 0.062165 |
| PC4 | -1.30697 | -0.36194 | 0.086582 | 1.02545 | -0.01279 | -0.64331 | -0.193 | 0.001664 | 0.303911 | 0.041203 |
| PC5 | -0.07052 | 1.13619 | 0.673141 | -0.51907 | 0.996119 | -0.76229 | -0.69242 | 1.12059 | 0.284256 | 0.020591 |
| PC6 | 0.643331 | 0.797998 | -0.08274 | -0.15419 | 0.100621 | 0.299272 | 0.192174 | -0.78134 | 0.824325 | 0.009465 |
| PC7 | 0.110598 | -0.52483 | -0.44552 | 0.189633 | 0.526258 | -0.19003 | -0.05655 | 0.033419 | 0.035419 | 0.005935 |
| PC8 | 0.271107 | -0.04997 | -0.03737 | -0.05067 | 0.083636 | 0.029635 | -0.06907 | 0.482587 | -0.22269 | 0.00235 |
| PC9 | 0.024894 | -0.07624 | 0.076819 | 0.007212 | -0.11992 | 0.248039 | 0.199824 | 0.053836 | -0.35631 | 0.001911 |
| PC10 | 0.210679 | -0.1014 | 0.259165 | 0.352914 | 0.011546 | 0.122755 | 0.081555 | 0.033457 | -0.02655 | 0.001866 |
| PC11 | 0.189417 | -0.10214 | -0.0898 | -0.08865 | -0.06403 | -0.20698 | -0.12117 | 0.057102 | 0.064722 | 0.00084 |
| PC12 | 0.092458 | -0.10149 | 0.113284 | -0.10384 | -0.02697 | 0.074351 | 0.070108 | -0.00676 | -0.03388 | 0.000362 |
| PC13 | 0.003343 | 0.140186 | -0.0661 | 0.03801 | -0.04813 | -0.08245 | 0.120586 | 0.079033 | -0.10939 | 0.000256 |
| PC14 | -0.01655 | -0.0053 | -0.04986 | 0.01394 | -0.08924 | -0.02775 | 0.058528 | 0.031362 | 0.082682 | 0.000128 |
| PC15 | 0.024744 | 0.019235 | -0.07758 | -0.02266 | -0.04711 | 0.051108 | 0.002393 | 0.034991 | 0.010418 | $6.77 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC16 | 0.007375 | -0.02967 | 0.029564 | -0.00665 | -0.00327 | -0.06081 | 0.075209 | 0.005971 | 0.027027 | $4.28 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| PC17 | 0.002521 | 0.002528 | 0.001835 | 0.002233 | 0.003221 | 0.002267 | 0.002896 | 0.00185 | 0.001897 | $2.68 \mathrm{E}-07$ |

Table 13: Principal Components of Dataset 3 (part 2)
Sammon Mapping

|  | Analyses | Before reduction | After reduction |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0}$ | D1 / D2 | 172.245 | 21.282 |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | D1 / B1 | 1.472 | 157.342 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | D1 / A1 | 176.304 | 15.807 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | D1 / A2 | 388.964 | 287.502 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | D1 / B2 | 147.072 | 150.428 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | D1 / D3 | 0.78054 | 0.410142 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | D1 / C1 | 108.725 | 0.789999 |


| 7 | D1 / C2 | 251.648 | 260.611 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | D1 / C3 | 291.945 | 318.524 |
| 9 | D1 / C4 | 258.531 | 256.256 |
| 10 | D1 / D4 | 223.947 | 256.183 |
| 11 | D1 / D5 | 199.313 | 218.951 |
| 12 | D1 / E1 | 120.208 | 121.383 |
| 13 | D1 / E2 | 140.937 | 0.682404 |
| 14 | D1 / E3 | 131.672 | 117.454 |
| 15 | D1 / E4 | 149.677 | 166.657 |
| 16 | D1 / E5 | 123.736 | 0.946394 |
| 17 | D1 / D6 | 240.197 | 275.601 |
| 18 | D1 / A3 | 196.928 | 0.860671 |
| 19 | D2 / B1 | 126.004 | 139.596 |
| 20 | D2 / A1 | 1.369 | 0.798179 |
| 21 | D2 / A2 | 357.008 | 411.895 |
| 22 | D2 / B2 | 13.219 | 154.038 |
| 23 | D2 / D3 | 168.773 | 174.986 |
| 24 | D2 / C1 | 182.719 | 134.269 |
| 25 | D2 / C2 | 184.959 | 183.143 |
| 26 | D2 / C3 | 212.309 | 220.219 |
| 27 | D2 / C4 | 213.505 | 226.882 |
| 28 | D2 / D4 | 0.796097 | 0.673361 |
| 29 | D2 / D5 | 0.953093 | 0.584074 |
| 30 | D2 / E1 | 188.274 | 151.227 |
| 31 | D2 / E2 | 207.089 | 215.653 |
| 32 | D2 / E3 | 203.957 | 18.948 |
| 33 | D2 / E4 | 178.288 | 123.304 |
| 34 | D2 / E5 | 214.997 | 219.071 |
| 35 | D2 / D6 | 0.789079 | 0.68096 |
| 36 | D2 / A3 | 195.899 | 229.912 |
| 37 | B1 / A1 | 118.065 | 0.622294 |
| 38 | B1 / A2 | 348.713 | 273.714 |
| 39 | B1 / B2 | 0.197845 | 0.160017 |
| 40 | B1 / D3 | 135.491 | 119.789 |
| 41 | B1 / C1 | 136.191 | 116.936 |
| 42 | B1 / C2 | 14.127 | 103.855 |
| 43 | B1 / C3 | 17.611 | 161.497 |
| 44 | B1 / C4 | 142.475 | 113.569 |
| 45 | B1 / D4 | 151.633 | 139.325 |
| 46 | B1 / D5 | 139.382 | 102.538 |
| 47 | B1 / E1 | 202.502 | 190.143 |
| 48 | B1 / E2 | 207.623 | 203.651 |
| 49 | B1 / E3 | 197.597 | 216.987 |
| 50 | B1 / E4 | 211.354 | 200.317 |


| 51 | B1 / E5 | 207.813 | 222.515 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52 | B1 / D6 | 167.636 | 171.579 |
| 53 | B1 / A3 | 186.472 | 120.387 |
| 54 | A1 / A2 | 311.893 | 332.192 |
| 55 | A1 / B2 | 119.376 | 0.753444 |
| 56 | A1 / D3 | 176.139 | 117.098 |
| 57 | A1 / C1 | 165.294 | 0.908258 |
| 58 | A1 / C2 | 175.821 | 137.014 |
| 59 | A1 / C3 | 204.179 | 188.231 |
| 60 | A1 / C4 | 181.174 | 165.697 |
| 61 | A1 / D4 | 147.134 | 100.031 |
| 62 | A1 / D5 | 137.381 | 0.615355 |
| 63 | A1 / E1 | 182.071 | 147.793 |
| 64 | A1 / E2 | 195.102 | 184.151 |
| 65 | A1 / E3 | 185.501 | 18.086 |
| 66 | A1 / E4 | 189.944 | 146.518 |
| 67 | A1 / E5 | 197.866 | 196.901 |
| 68 | A1 / D6 | 15.947 | 125.341 |
| 69 | A1 / A3 | 18.129 | 154.916 |
| 70 | A2 / B2 | 349.041 | 258.442 |
| 71 | A2 / D3 | 384.193 | 290.024 |
| 72 | A2 / C1 | 356.841 | 328.535 |
| 73 | A2 / C2 | 325.958 | 298.715 |
| 74 | A2 / C3 | 332.924 | 332.729 |
| 75 | A2 / C4 | 324.627 | 244.132 |
| 76 | A2 / D4 | 331.846 | 408.539 |
| 77 | A2 / D5 | 318.224 | 374.692 |
| 78 | A2 / E1 | 338.814 | 400.114 |
| 79 | A2 / E2 | 360.053 | 3.543 |
| 80 | A2 / E3 | 347.842 | 404.203 |
| 81 | A2 / E4 | 34.241 | 434.958 |
| 82 | A2 / E5 | 364.288 | 380.833 |
| 83 | A2 / D6 | 348.117 | 442.837 |
| 84 | A2 / A3 | 260.528 | 208.485 |
| 85 | B2 / D3 | 137.654 | 114.929 |
| 86 | B2 / C1 | 133.865 | 118.226 |
| 87 | B2 / C2 | 143.457 | 110.459 |
| 88 | B2 / C3 | 177.215 | 168.436 |
| 89 | B2 / C4 | 141.345 | 111.869 |
| 90 | B2 / D4 | 158.196 | 155.324 |
| 91 | B2 / D5 | 145.763 | 118.484 |
| 92 | B2 / E1 | 202.443 | 193.897 |
| 93 | B2 / E2 | 207.083 | 20.089 |
| 94 | B2 / E3 | 195.792 | 218.556 |


| 95 | B2 / E4 | 215.067 | 207.753 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 96 | B2 / E5 | 206.906 | 221.101 |
| 97 | B2 / D6 | 173.315 | 187.535 |
| 98 | B2 / A3 | 18.512 | 106.665 |
| 99 | D3 / C1 | 101.006 | 0.454501 |
| 100 | D3 / C2 | 234.722 | 223.636 |
| 101 | D3 / C3 | 286.511 | 281.253 |
| 102 | D3 / C4 | 24.706 | 224.603 |
| 103 | D3 / D4 | 204.909 | 215.621 |
| 104 | D3 / D5 | 175.348 | 17.813 |
| 105 | D3 / E1 | 132.557 | 110.126 |
| 106 | D3 / E2 | 13.267 | 0.875307 |
| 107 | D3 / E3 | 13.611 | 119.489 |
| 108 | D3 / E4 | 142.267 | 147.568 |
| 109 | D3 / E5 | 132.702 | 110.417 |
| 110 | D3 / D6 | 225.564 | 236.061 |
| 111 | D3 / A3 | 18.614 | 0.815411 |
| 112 | C1/C2 | 20.373 | 217.057 |
| 113 | C1/ C3 | 255.142 | 27.256 |
| 114 | C1/C4 | 203.211 | 229.729 |
| 115 | C1 / D4 | 208.641 | 182.402 |
| 116 | C1 / D5 | 189.427 | 147.438 |
| 117 | C1 / E1 | 12.143 | 0.781339 |
| 118 | C1 / E2 | 119.318 | 0.933764 |
| 119 | C1 / E3 | 129.084 | 100.354 |
| 120 | C1 / E4 | 153.922 | 106.422 |
| 121 | C1/E5 | 113.673 | 107.864 |
| 122 | C1 / D6 | 225.911 | 198.659 |
| 123 | C1/ A3 | 167.362 | 121.891 |
| 124 | C2 / C3 | 0.827937 | 0.579765 |
| 125 | C2 / C4 | 0.751232 | 0.580364 |
| 126 | C2 / D4 | 162.638 | 140.022 |
| 127 | C2 / D5 | 165.584 | 125.251 |
| 128 | C2 / E1 | 26.933 | 283.833 |
| 129 | C2 / E2 | 266.905 | 306.792 |
| 130 | C2 / E3 | 27.052 | 314.741 |
| 131 | C2 / E4 | 255.175 | 282.429 |
| 132 | C2 / E5 | 281.264 | 324.467 |
| 133 | C2 / D6 | 180.291 | 174.487 |
| 134 | C2 / A3 | 206.104 | 210.361 |
| 135 | C3 / C4 | 0.980711 | 0.912068 |
| 136 | C3 / D4 | 186.303 | 164.419 |
| 137 | C3 / D5 | 191.958 | 162.314 |
| 138 | C3 / E1 | 312.447 | 336.023 |


| 139 | C3 / E2 | 326.586 | 363.488 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140 | C3 / E3 | 319.919 | 368.488 |
| 141 | C3 / E4 | 303.025 | 329.831 |
| 142 | C3 / E5 | 335.439 | 380.351 |
| 143 | C3 / D6 | 199.735 | 194.357 |
| 144 | C3 / A3 | 251.384 | 266.944 |
| 145 | C4 / D4 | 200.381 | 19.314 |
| 146 | C4 / D5 | 197.954 | 171.887 |
| 147 | C4 / E1 | 280.654 | 303.699 |
| 148 | C4 / E2 | 280.881 | 311.723 |
| 149 | C4 / E3 | 269.628 | 330.061 |
| 150 | C4 / E4 | 284.464 | 311.222 |
| 151 | C4 / E5 | 286.392 | 332.683 |
| 152 | C4 / D6 | 213.599 | 228.416 |
| 153 | C4 / A3 | 219.747 | 19.028 |
| 154 | D4 / D5 | 0.64845 | 0.389855 |
| 155 | D4 / E1 | 216.001 | 214.943 |
| 156 | D4 / E2 | 234.517 | 271.763 |
| 157 | D4 / E3 | 235.052 | 252.757 |
| 158 | D4 / E4 | 194.353 | 190.467 |
| 159 | D4 / E5 | 249.995 | 278.822 |
| 160 | D4 / D6 | 0.473808 | 0.355483 |
| 161 | D4 / A3 | 19.786 | 252.102 |
| 162 | D5 / E1 | 192.399 | 188.886 |
| 163 | D5 / E2 | 22.013 | 238.943 |
| 164 | D5 / E3 | 216.509 | 2.256 |
| 165 | D5 / E4 | 173.583 | 172.033 |
| 166 | D5 / E5 | 233.429 | 24.821 |
| 167 | D5 / D6 | 0.975382 | 0.69057 |
| 168 | D5 / A3 | 182.705 | 213.205 |
| 169 | E1 / E2 | 0.900831 | 0.809234 |
| 170 | E1 / E3 | 0.938033 | 0.38292 |
| 171 | E1 / E4 | 0.812913 | 0.501218 |
| 172 | E1 / E5 | 0.81484 | 0.731706 |
| 173 | E1 / D6 | 23.454 | 21.889 |
| 174 | E1 / A3 | 158.685 | 191.637 |
| 175 | E2 / E3 | 0.905699 | 0.588983 |
| 176 | E2 / E4 | 110.229 | 131.024 |
| 177 | E2 / E5 | 0.471541 | 0.265671 |
| 178 | E2 / D6 | 249.817 | 28.327 |
| 179 | E2 / A3 | 160.156 | 154.058 |
| 180 | E3 / E4 | 135.109 | 0.818312 |
| 181 | E3 / E5 | 0.751963 | 0.411521 |
| 182 | E3 / D6 | 249.005 | 257.181 |


| 183 | E3 / A3 | 161.546 | 197.879 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 184 | E4 / E5 | 126.897 | 121.279 |
| 185 | E4 / D6 | 216.431 | 187.138 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 6}$ | E4 / A3 | 159.921 | 227.769 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 7}$ | E5 / D6 | 263.315 | 287.162 |
| $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ | E5 / A3 | 171.114 | 180.189 |
| 189 | D6 / A3 | 218.967 | 280.019 |

Table 14: Results of Sammon mapping using Dataset 4

|  | Analyses | Before reduction | After reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | D1 / D2 | 117.514 | 129.885 |
| 1 | D1 / B1 | 122.106 | 126.969 |
| 2 | D1 / A1 | 142.788 | 0.892707 |
| 3 | D1 / A2 | 303.721 | 31.822 |
| 4 | D1 / B2 | 121.975 | 122.144 |
| 5 | D1 / D3 | 0.404965 | 0.276466 |
| 6 | D1 / C1 | 0.914786 | 0.564437 |
| 7 | D1 / C2 | 21.911 | 222.446 |
| 8 | D1 / C3 | 264.481 | 272.778 |
| 9 | D1 / C4 | 236.073 | 237.667 |
| 10 | D1 / D4 | 132.652 | 134.019 |
| 11 | D1 / D5 | 0.992316 | 0.90224 |
| 12 | D1 / E1 | 107.963 | 102.605 |
| 13 | D1 / E2 | 107.277 | 100.502 |
| 14 | D1 / E3 | 100.469 | 0.90724 |
| 15 | D1 / E4 | 100.347 | 0.84949 |
| 16 | D1 / E5 | 106.307 | 109.038 |
| 17 | D1 / D6 | 15.844 | 167.993 |
| 18 | D1 / A3 | 163.532 | 170.878 |
| 19 | D2 / B1 | 0.956484 | 0.941563 |
| 20 | D2 / A1 | 121.758 | 131.298 |
| 21 | D2 / A2 | 294.835 | 202.723 |
| 22 | D2 / B2 | 0.977881 | 100.345 |
| 23 | D2 / D3 | 143.531 | 156.341 |
| 24 | D2 / C1 | 132.498 | 0.793424 |
| 25 | D2 / C2 | 178.536 | 133.893 |
| 26 | D2 / C3 | 209.655 | 175.284 |
| 27 | D2 / C4 | 194.576 | 155.793 |
| 28 | D2 / D4 | 0.548038 | 0.332574 |
| 29 | D2 / D5 | 0.739619 | 0.5417 |
| 30 | D2 / E1 | 167.473 | 152.595 |
| 31 | D2 / E2 | 16.995 | 145.167 |
| 32 | D2 / E3 | 166.093 | 147.168 |
| 33 | D2 / E4 | 165.707 | 13.833 |


| 34 | D2 / E5 | 16.726 | 149.582 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | D2 / D6 | 0.55005 | 0.406879 |
| 36 | D2 / A3 | 178.369 | 0.978622 |
| 37 | B1 / A1 | 113.646 | 0.63656 |
| 38 | B1 / A2 | 279.163 | 286.847 |
| 39 | B1 / B2 | 0.120239 | 0.095833 |
| 40 | B1 / D3 | 130.775 | 142.232 |
| 41 | B1 / C1 | 122.949 | 113.321 |
| 42 | B1 / C2 | 121.919 | 0.998042 |
| 43 | B1 / C3 | 155.688 | 150.665 |
| 44 | B1 / C4 | 138.368 | 112.014 |
| 45 | B1 / D4 | 0.84658 | 0.648731 |
| 46 | B1 / D5 | 0.71356 | 0.568253 |
| 47 | B1 / E1 | 193.088 | 20.313 |
| 48 | B1 / E2 | 193.831 | 197.376 |
| 49 | B1 / E3 | 187.357 | 193.471 |
| 50 | B1 / E4 | 183.604 | 184.746 |
| 51 | B1 / E5 | 195.022 | 204.547 |
| 52 | B1 / D6 | 113.897 | 102.368 |
| 53 | B1 / A3 | 172.116 | 189.927 |
| 54 | A1 / A2 | 238.394 | 333.685 |
| 55 | A1 / B2 | 112.715 | 0.545579 |
| 56 | A1 / D3 | 167.067 | 0.937162 |
| 57 | A1 / C1 | 141.894 | 106.501 |
| 58 | A1 / C2 | 163.548 | 161.463 |
| 59 | A1 / C3 | 193.643 | 211.756 |
| 60 | A1 / C4 | 175.925 | 170.532 |
| 61 | A1 / D4 | 107.262 | 112.799 |
| 62 | A1 / D5 | 10.183 | 0.776242 |
| 63 | A1 / E1 | 1.677 | 186.201 |
| 64 | A1 / E2 | 168.834 | 182.362 |
| 65 | A1 / E3 | 164.587 | 174.825 |
| 66 | A1 / E4 | 161.364 | 167.434 |
| 67 | A1 / E5 | 169.847 | 19.063 |
| 68 | A1 / D6 | 126.808 | 153.865 |
| 69 | A1 / A3 | 164.847 | 212.976 |
| 70 | A2 / B2 | 276.881 | 295.196 |
| 71 | A2 / D3 | 314.594 | 345.838 |
| 72 | A2 / C1 | 265.566 | 261.788 |
| 73 | A2 / C2 | 245.569 | 261.136 |
| 74 | A2 / C3 | 26.299 | 26.478 |
| 75 | A2 / C4 | 246.816 | 280.979 |
| 76 | A2 / D4 | 267.517 | 223.378 |
| 77 | A2 / D5 | 259.378 | 25.689 |


| 78 | A2 / E1 | 26.703 | 281.078 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79 | A2 / E2 | 26.758 | 274.416 |
| 80 | A2 / E3 | 265.881 | 284.466 |
| 81 | A2 / E4 | 261.166 | 279.409 |
| 82 | A2 / E5 | 271.066 | 272.136 |
| 83 | A2 / D6 | 283.456 | 184.522 |
| 84 | A2 / A3 | 192.179 | 157.128 |
| 85 | B2 / D3 | 130.104 | 136.011 |
| 86 | B2 / C1 | 120.941 | 112.769 |
| 87 | B2 / C2 | 121.705 | 107.768 |
| 88 | B2 / C3 | 155.675 | 158.486 |
| 89 | B2 / C4 | 138.076 | 118.891 |
| 90 | B2 / D4 | 0.860744 | 0.724392 |
| 91 | B2 / D5 | 0.72186 | 0.587534 |
| 92 | B2 / E1 | 191.656 | 202.119 |
| 93 | B2 / E2 | 192.341 | 196.616 |
| 94 | B2 / E3 | 185.972 | 192.137 |
| 95 | B2 / E4 | 182.146 | 183.548 |
| 96 | B2 / E5 | 193.685 | 2.04 |
| 97 | B2 / D6 | 114.692 | 110.881 |
| 98 | B2 / A3 | 169.892 | 194.811 |
| 99 | D3 / C1 | 0.900775 | 0.840827 |
| 100 | D3 / C2 | 221.952 | 240.586 |
| 101 | D3 / C3 | 270.044 | 291.372 |
| 102 | D3 / C4 | 238.751 | 254.199 |
| 103 | D3 / D4 | 153.469 | 15.794 |
| 104 | D3 / D5 | 116.242 | 113.252 |
| 105 | D3 / E1 | 122.513 | 117.925 |
| 106 | D3 / E2 | 120.344 | 11.738 |
| 107 | D3 / E3 | 113.829 | 106.158 |
| 108 | D3 / E4 | 112.428 | 102.161 |
| 109 | D3 / E5 | 121.707 | 125.745 |
| 110 | D3 / D6 | 18.125 | 19.356 |
| 111 | D3 / A3 | 17.005 | 197.584 |
| 112 | C1/C2 | 172.357 | 194.033 |
| 113 | C1 / C3 | 223.281 | 2.417 |
| 114 | C1 / C4 | 18.664 | 212.771 |
| 115 | C1 / D4 | 116.348 | 0.93212 |
| 116 | C1 / D5 | 0.923596 | 0.591915 |
| 117 | C1 / E1 | 102.145 | 0.89918 |
| 118 | C1 / E2 | 101.703 | 0.840569 |
| 119 | C1 / E3 | 0.984025 | 0.807142 |
| 120 | C1 / E4 | 0.931671 | 0.718082 |
| 121 | C1 / E5 | 107.017 | 0.912742 |


| 122 | C1 / D6 | 147.026 | 119.681 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | C1 / A3 | 132.269 | 11.709 |
| 124 | C2 / C3 | 0.591035 | 0.509096 |
| 125 | C2 / C4 | 0.258339 | 0.229281 |
| 126 | C2 / D4 | 139.553 | 104.723 |
| 127 | C2 / D5 | 137.358 | 136.318 |
| 128 | C2 / E1 | 253.005 | 280.564 |
| 129 | C2 / E2 | 25.323 | 273.651 |
| 130 | C2 / E3 | 24.891 | 273.056 |
| 131 | C2 / E4 | 242.407 | 263.984 |
| 132 | C2 / E5 | 257.667 | 279.277 |
| 133 | C2 / D6 | 161.693 | 106.916 |
| 134 | C2 / A3 | 193.943 | 223.434 |
| 135 | C3 / C4 | 0.488358 | 0.442228 |
| 136 | C3 / D4 | 172.064 | 149.731 |
| 137 | C3 / D5 | 179.754 | 185.317 |
| 138 | C3 / E1 | 301.089 | 326.003 |
| 139 | C3 / E2 | 302.089 | 318.849 |
| 140 | C3 / E3 | 297.137 | 319.203 |
| 141 | C3 / E4 | 290.882 | 310.151 |
| 142 | C3 / E5 | 305.791 | 323.942 |
| 143 | C3 / D6 | 186.271 | 141.722 |
| 144 | C3 / A3 | 234.745 | 25.628 |
| 145 | C4 / D4 | 156.018 | 125.681 |
| 146 | C4 / D5 | 155.382 | 154.187 |
| 147 | C4 / E1 | 266.871 | 300.432 |
| 148 | C4 / E2 | 26.749 | 293.658 |
| 149 | C4 / E3 | 262.901 | 292.551 |
| 150 | C4 / E4 | 256.392 | 283.491 |
| 151 | C4 / E5 | 272.296 | 299.526 |
| 152 | C4 / D6 | 176.123 | 129.798 |
| 153 | C4 / A3 | 205.357 | 246.266 |
| 154 | D4 / D5 | 0.564867 | 0.449408 |
| 155 | D4 / E1 | 168.558 | 176.411 |
| 156 | D4 / E2 | 170.689 | 169.349 |
| 157 | D4 / E3 | 167.018 | 169.472 |
| 158 | D4 / E4 | 164.024 | 16.042 |
| 159 | D4 / E5 | 169.922 | 174.741 |
| 160 | D4 / D6 | 0.422901 | 0.410917 |
| 161 | D4 / A3 | 159.755 | 130.978 |
| 162 | D5 / E1 | 146.544 | 148.731 |
| 163 | D5 / E2 | 147.014 | 142.534 |
| 164 | D5 / E3 | 141.581 | 13.985 |
| 165 | D5 / E4 | 137.282 | 130.897 |


| 166 | D5 / E5 | 14.798 | 1.493 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 167 | D5 / D6 | 0.893304 | 0.834275 |
| 168 | D5 / A3 | 138.878 | 139.136 |
| 169 | E1 / E2 | 0.0866322 | 0.0769755 |
| 170 | E1 / E3 | 0.14444 | 0.11908 |
| 171 | E1 / E4 | 0.20773 | 0.188713 |
| 172 | E1 / E5 | 0.112301 | 0.10004 |
| 173 | E1 / D6 | 189.646 | 191.674 |
| 174 | E1 / A3 | 134.174 | 124.714 |
| 175 | E2 / E3 | 0.135504 | 0.124815 |
| 176 | E2 / E4 | 0.186221 | 0.155536 |
| 177 | E2 / E5 | 0.1168 | 0.0853669 |
| 178 | E2 / D6 | 19.233 | 184.124 |
| 179 | E2 / A3 | 134.335 | 117.778 |
| 180 | E3 / E4 | 0.101269 | 0.0907164 |
| 181 | E3 / E5 | 0.180806 | 0.199981 |
| 182 | E3 / D6 | 189.622 | 186.891 |
| 183 | E3 / A3 | 131.303 | 12.745 |
| 184 | E4 / E5 | 0.250993 | 0.240897 |
| 185 | E4 / D6 | 187.789 | 178.172 |
| 186 | E4 / A3 | 126.095 | 122.282 |
| 187 | E5 / D6 | 190.488 | 18.797 |
| 188 | E5 / A3 | 137.708 | 116.245 |
| 189 | D6 / A3 | 18.487 | 11.659 |

Table 15: Results of Sammon mapping using Dataset 5

|  | Analyses | Before reduction | After reduction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | D1 / D2 | 318.845 | 28.673 |
| 1 | D1 / A1 | 130.581 | 105.627 |
| 2 | D1 / A2 | 0.635635 | 0.422124 |
| 3 | D1 / D3 | 0.964929 | 121.376 |
| 4 | D1 / C1 | 100.561 | 0.994249 |
| 5 | D1 / C2 | 0.931725 | 0.654317 |
| 6 | D1 / C3 | 0.898564 | 0.541704 |
| 7 | D1 / C4 | 0.995184 | 0.517765 |
| 8 | D1 / D4 | 131.326 | 103.385 |
| 9 | D1 / D5 | 0.965831 | 0.969782 |
| 10 | D1 / E1 | 147.994 | 11.478 |
| 11 | D1 / E2 | 123.313 | 0.922846 |
| 12 | D1 / E3 | 0.8881 | 0.4073 |
| 13 | D1 / E4 | 141.172 | 0.95976 |
| 14 | D1 / E5 | 130.613 | 0.98316 |
| 15 | D1 / D6 | 387.306 | 351.712 |
| 16 | D1 / A3 | 169.002 | 169.475 |


| 17 | D2 / A1 | 26.543 | 223.699 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | D2 / A2 | 305.676 | 277.653 |
| 19 | D2 / D3 | 306.789 | 321.012 |
| 20 | D2 / C1 | 286.845 | 2.713 |
| 21 | D2 / C2 | 266.058 | 25.125 |
| 22 | D2 / C3 | 266.777 | 290.961 |
| 23 | D2 / C4 | 264.081 | 245.311 |
| 24 | D2 / D4 | 244.352 | 185.354 |
| 25 | D2 / D5 | 294.425 | 351.126 |
| 26 | D2 / E1 | 347.985 | 38.134 |
| 27 | D2 / E2 | 324.435 | 378.566 |
| 28 | D2 / E3 | 287.004 | 321.238 |
| 29 | D2 / E4 | 323.211 | 342.269 |
| 30 | D2 / E5 | 337.315 | 379.507 |
| 31 | D2 / D6 | 137.385 | 13.103 |
| 32 | D2 / A3 | 221.935 | 184.474 |
| 33 | A1 / A2 | 10.407 | 0.717421 |
| 34 | A1 / D3 | 153.129 | 212.946 |
| 35 | A1/ C1 | 161.883 | 173.356 |
| 36 | A1/C2 | 15.463 | 12.976 |
| 37 | A1/ C3 | 145.188 | 148.183 |
| 38 | A1/ C4 | 151.521 | 107.516 |
| 39 | A1 / D4 | 136.607 | 0.939312 |
| 40 | A1 / D5 | 156.475 | 201.682 |
| 41 | A1 / E1 | 187.647 | 162.806 |
| 42 | A1 / E2 | 15.687 | 187.271 |
| 43 | A1 / E3 | 138.445 | 145.897 |
| 44 | A1/E4 | 158.657 | 120.919 |
| 45 | A1/E5 | 174.963 | 170.428 |
| 46 | A1 / D6 | 319.159 | 258.492 |
| 47 | A1 / A3 | 151.541 | 186.841 |
| 48 | A2 / D3 | 110.131 | 162.954 |
| 49 | A2 / C1 | 127.604 | 136.017 |
| 50 | A2 / C2 | 114.447 | 0.960435 |
| 51 | A2 / C3 | 119.521 | 0.952814 |
| 52 | A2 / C4 | 11.776 | 0.758036 |
| 53 | A2 / D4 | 135.952 | 106.445 |
| 54 | A2 / D5 | 103.073 | 137.721 |
| 55 | A2 / E1 | 135.648 | 104.987 |
| 56 | A2 / E2 | 127.848 | 115.545 |
| 57 | A2 / E3 | 104.506 | 0.781282 |
| 58 | A2 / E4 | 124.853 | 0.72164 |
| 59 | A2 / E5 | 119.841 | 103.018 |
| 60 | A2 / D6 | 370.616 | 32.791 |


| 61 | A2 / A3 | 179.027 | 188.836 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62 | D3 / C1 | 0.99841 | 0.506104 |
| 63 | D3 / C2 | 108.056 | 0.866104 |
| 64 | D3 / C3 | 118.591 | 0.677276 |
| 65 | D3 / C4 | 112.842 | 106.738 |
| 66 | D3 / D4 | 144.334 | 153.797 |
| 67 | D3 / D5 | 12.877 | 0.607009 |
| 68 | D3 / E1 | 184.854 | 209.964 |
| 69 | D3 / E2 | 165.523 | 137.017 |
| 70 | D3 / E3 | 121.228 | 100.697 |
| 71 | D3 / E4 | 171.266 | 208.583 |
| 72 | D3 / E5 | 174.088 | 17.749 |
| 73 | D3 / D6 | 373.096 | 41.883 |
| 74 | D3 / A3 | 191.481 | 145.778 |
| 75 | C1 / C2 | 0.695341 | 0.436895 |
| 76 | C1 / C3 | 0.850778 | 0.507606 |
| 77 | C1 / C4 | 0.816152 | 0.662541 |
| 78 | C1 / D4 | 120.128 | 104.515 |
| 79 | C1 / D5 | 126.351 | 0.886458 |
| 80 | C1 / E1 | 166.316 | 206.679 |
| 81 | C1 / E2 | 143.821 | 149.511 |
| 82 | C1 / E3 | 101.597 | 0.970199 |
| 83 | C1 / E4 | 149.169 | 194.808 |
| 84 | C1 / E5 | 152.563 | 180.135 |
| 85 | C1 / D6 | 360.932 | 368.341 |
| 86 | C1 / A3 | 160.764 | 102.304 |
| 87 | C2 / C3 | 0.637978 | 0.400063 |
| 88 | C2 / C4 | 0.309352 | 0.226272 |
| 89 | C2 / D4 | 102.603 | 0.705757 |
| 90 | C2 / D5 | 113.639 | 100.126 |
| 91 | C2 / E1 | 159.215 | 17.945 |
| 92 | C2 / E2 | 141.458 | 138.573 |
| 93 | C2 / E3 | 0.817943 | 0.79643 |
| 94 | C2 / E4 | 148.381 | 160.735 |
| 95 | C2 / E5 | 140.736 | 1.587 |
| 96 | C2 / D6 | 338.948 | 337.419 |
| 97 | C2 / A3 | 166.695 | 10.707 |
| 98 | C3 / C4 | 0.677558 | 0.49334 |
| 99 | C3 / D4 | 116.529 | 10.809 |
| 100 | C3 / D5 | 116.983 | 0.616284 |
| 101 | C3 / E1 | 160.116 | 156.004 |
| 102 | C3 / E2 | 109.772 | 102.358 |
| 103 | C3 / E3 | 0.538275 | 0.466024 |
| 104 | C3 / E4 | 150.252 | 146.335 |


| 105 | C3 / E5 | 142.234 | 129.636 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | C3 / D6 | 338.665 | 373.502 |
| 107 | C3 / A3 | 142.074 | 142.135 |
| 108 | C4 / D4 | 100.473 | 0.602794 |
| 109 | C4 / D5 | 116.142 | 110.781 |
| 110 | C4 / E1 | 152.118 | 166.299 |
| 111 | C4 / E2 | 139.945 | 136.025 |
| 112 | C4 / E3 | 0.803096 | 0.770132 |
| 113 | C4 / E4 | 137.797 | 143.622 |
| 114 | C4 / E5 | 141.694 | 149.434 |
| 115 | C4 / D6 | 335.102 | 324.226 |
| 116 | C4 / A3 | 1.735 | 117.884 |
| 117 | D4 / D5 | 123.072 | 169.623 |
| 118 | D4 / E1 | 18.941 | 210.019 |
| 119 | D4 / E2 | 17.535 | 193.875 |
| 120 | D4 / E3 | 130.877 | 135.946 |
| 121 | D4 / E4 | 173.403 | 178.393 |
| 122 | D4 / E5 | 177.281 | 200.349 |
| 123 | D4 / D6 | 328.635 | 26.688 |
| 124 | D4 / A3 | 135.979 | 0.930082 |
| 125 | D5 / E1 | 157.815 | 157.586 |
| 126 | D5 / E2 | 143.965 | 0.782924 |
| 127 | D5 / E3 | 113.081 | 0.607741 |
| 128 | D5 / E4 | 152.756 | 164.892 |
| 129 | D5 / E5 | 142.706 | 122.422 |
| 130 | D5 / D6 | 386.735 | 435.002 |
| 131 | D5 / A3 | 162.853 | 190.837 |
| 132 | E1 / E2 | 0.969311 | 0.851331 |
| 133 | E1 / E3 | 117.765 | 111.211 |
| 134 | E1 / E4 | 0.542325 | 0.43616 |
| 135 | E1 / E5 | 0.523849 | 0.373806 |
| 136 | E1 / D6 | 409.935 | 419.302 |
| 137 | E1 / A3 | 239.415 | 284.171 |
| 138 | E2 / E3 | 0.666499 | 0.593217 |
| 139 | E2 / E4 | 105.565 | 106.173 |
| 140 | E2 / E5 | 0.814159 | 0.478245 |
| 141 | E2 / D6 | 389.494 | 441.774 |
| 142 | E2 / A3 | 188.922 | 244.272 |
| 143 | E3 / E4 | 114.897 | 108.312 |
| 144 | E3 / E5 | 0.999442 | 0.831184 |
| 145 | E3 / D6 | 355.605 | 391.425 |
| 146 | E3 / A3 | 164.554 | 186.211 |
| 147 | E4 / E5 | 0.831077 | 0.66151 |
| 148 | E4 / D6 | 381.851 | 376.033 |


| 149 | E4 / A3 | 222.517 | 2.599 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 150 | E5 / D6 | 400.916 | 4.289 |
| 151 | E5 / A3 | 220.606 | 265.564 |
| 152 | D6 / A3 | 310.507 | 299.728 |

Table 16: Results of Sammon mapping using Dataset 6

