High Power Laser Science and Engineering, (2016), Vol. 4, e42, 7 pages. © The Author(s) 2016. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1017/hpl.2016.41

New scheme to trigger fusion in a compact magnetic fusion device by combining muon catalysis and alpha heating effects

S.D. Moustaizis¹, P. Lalousis², H. Hora³, Z. Henis⁴, S. Eliezer⁵, and I. Ploumistakis¹

¹Technical University of Crete, Lab of Matter Structure and Laser Physics, Chania, Crete, Greece

²Institute of Electronic Structure and Laser FORTH, Heraklion, Greece

³Department of Theoretical Physics, University of New South Wales, Sydney 2052, Australia

⁴Applied Physics Division, Soreq NRC, Yavne 81800, Israel

⁵Nuclear Fusion Institute, Polytechnique University of Madrid, ETSII, Madrid 28006, Spain

(Received 29 June 2016; revised 5 September 2016; accepted 22 September 2016)

Abstract

The application of laser pulses with psec or shorter duration enables nonthermal efficient ultrahigh acceleration of plasma blocks with homogeneous high ion energies exceeding ion current densities of 10^{12} A cm⁻². The effects of ultrahigh acceleration of plasma blocks with high energy proton beams are proposed for muon production in a compact magnetic fusion device. The proposed new scheme consists of an ignition fusion spark by muon catalyzed fusion (μ CF) in a small mirror-like configuration where low temperature D–T plasma is trapped for a duration of 1 μ s. This initial fusion spark produces sufficient alpha heating in order to initiate the fusion process in the main device. The use of a multi-fluid global particle and energy balance code allows us to follow the temporal evolution of the reaction rate of the fusion process in the device. Recent progress on the ICAN and IZEST projects for high efficient high power and high repetition rate laser systems allows development of the proposed device for clean energy production. With the proposed approaches, experiments on fusion nuclear reactions and μ CF process can be performed in magnetized plasmas in existing kJ/PW laser facilities as the GEKKO-LFEX, the PETAL and the ORION or in the near future laser facilities as the ELI-NP Romanian pillar.

Keywords: alpha heating effect; high energy density physics; laser plasmas interaction; laser proton acceleration high energy density physics; muon catalyzed fusion; ultra-intense; ultra-short pulse laser interaction with matters

1. Introduction

The advent of laser pulses of ps or shorter duration and of very high power opened a basically new physics topic which includes relativistic effects^[1, 2]. One of these phenomena is the interaction of these laser pulses at very high intensities with solid-state density, targets resulting in a basically different nature compared with ns pulses^[3, 4]. The ps laser–target interaction case shows a direct conversion of laser energy into directed macroscopic motion of plasma blocks with nearly no losses of thermal or instability processes. In contrast to this high efficiency energy conversion, the ns interaction is based on the conversion of laser energy into quiver motions of electrons and the electron tempera-

tures increases by collisions. Through thermal equipartition between ions and electrons, the ion temperature increases and its pressure then determines the plasma dynamics with compression or expansion of the irradiated plasma. This difference was drastically measured for the first time as an ultrahigh acceleration by Sauerbrey^[5] from the Doppler effect of the motion of the generated plasma moving against the laser beam. The acceleration of $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm s}^{-2}$ was 100 000 times higher than any acceleration detected before in a laboratory and had values known from neutron stars or similar astrophysical objects. These accelerations were known from numerical evaluations of the plasma theory when the laser intensities were high enough that the dynamics was no longer determined by thermal pressures but by forces due to the laser fields as a kind of ponderomotive force. The more detailed analysis needed the inclusion of the optical properties of plasma into the Maxwellian stress

Correspondence to: S. D. Moustaizis, Technical University of Crete, Lab of Matter Structure and Laser Physics, Chania, Crete, Greece, 73100. Email: moustaiz@yahoo.gr

tensor^[6] where an acceleration of plasma blocks resulted in values of above 10^{20} cm s⁻² under similar conditions as the experiments^[5]. The application of the nonthermal, efficient ultrahigh acceleration for a new approach for nuclear fusion^[7] led to an alternative kind of shock ignition^[8, 9]. Parallel to these ultrahigh accelerations, ultrahigh ion current densities were detected^[10] in the space charge neutral directed motion of the plasma blocks with homogeneous high ion energies exceeding ion current densities of 10^{12} A cm⁻². These values are more than million times higher than those of the best ion accelerators. The following evaluations consider the application of a basically new approach to fusion energy due to the completely new conditions for muon catalyzed fusion $(\mu CF)^{[11-13]}$. As an example, it should be mentioned how the very high density interaction by lasers compared with accelerators, that led to a change of the efficiency of antiproton interaction from about 10^{-9} – $10^{-2[14]}$ such that the design of an interstellar space mission may be considered possible by using antihydrogen as fuel^[15].

In the near future, Petawatt or Exawatt–Zetawatt^[1, 2] laser systems like ELI^[16] and especially the IZEST^[17, 18] and the fiber based laser system will be investigated for the ICAN project; thus, it will be able to attain intensities up to 10^{25} and 10^{29} W cm⁻², respectively. For the case of ELI it is expected to reach maximum power of 200 PW for pulse duration of the order of fs, while IZEST is predicted to be in the TW regime in terms of power, with pulse duration of the order of attoseconds or zettaseconds. In the case of the ICAN-IZEST project a laser beam with 100 Hz rap rate and output efficiency up to 30% will enable unique applications such as the proton acceleration, the neutron production and the gamma-gamma collider, etc. The recent development of the ELI-NP Laser Infrastructure in Romania^[19] allows to study muon production and µCF induced by PW laser beams. The surface muon production^[20] from proton beam interaction with a solid target presents a local maximum in the range of 300-350 MeV^[19, 21-24]. Experiments on laserdriven proton acceleration by high power, ps laser pulse interaction with ultrathin solid targets or with specific solid target configuration could be planned and performed in existing kJ/PW laser facilities as the GEKKO-LFEX^[25, 26], the ORION^[27] and the PETAL^[28, 29]. Recent development of kJ/PW laser systems^[30] in worldwide laser facilities enable to perform preliminary experiments on muon production by proton beam interaction with solid targets and investigate fusion process in magnetized plasmas with applications to astrophysics^[28–30] or energy production^[25, 26, 28–30].

2. Description of the operation of the proposed new scheme for fusion

During the last few years there has been an increase interest to develop laboratory prototypes of compact magnetic



Figure 1. Full description of muon catalysis fusion cycle.

fusion devices^[31–33]. These devices will be operating with intermediate plasma densities $(10^{16}-10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3})$, compared to Tokamak machines which operate at lower plasma density and to ICF machines which operate at much higher plasma density.

The proposed new fusion scheme is based on a compact magnetic fusion device which is divided in two parts with different plasma densities, plasma temperatures and different external applied magnetic field. Both magnetic configurations are in cylindrical symmetry and in mirror-like topology. The first part of the device has a relatively small volume (about 1 cm^3) magnetic device with mirror-like topology capable to support 90-100 T magnetic field^[19, 34], initial plasma density up to 10^{20} – 10^{21} cm⁻³ and plasma temperature no higher than 100 eV. This first part is used to ignite spark fusion by μCF in its volume. More details for the small magnetic configuration (see Figures 17 and 58(a) in Ref. [19]) and its operation can be found in the technical report of the ELI-NP laser infrastructure^[19]. The plasma trapping in the small magnetic configuration is 1 µs, in order to enable μ CF reactions (see Figures 1 and 2) during the period of the lifetime of the muons $(2.2 \,\mu s)$. After 1 μs the alpha particles produced from the spark fusion part of the device generate sufficient alpha heating (see Figures 3 and 4) in order to trigger (initiate) the fusion^[35] process in the plasma $(10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3})$ of the second part of the device and achieve the optimum fusion temperature and reaction rate after 0.05 s (see Figure 3). For a lower plasma density of the order of 10^{16} cm⁻³ the optimum plasma temperature and maximum reaction rate are achieved after 0.02 s (see Figure 4).

The second part of the proposed device is composed by a volume in cylindrical symmetry with a diameter of 45 cm



Figure 2. Reduced µCF catalyzed cycle.



Figure 3. Temporal evolution of the reaction rate, plasma ion density and plasma ion temperature. The blue arrow indicates the end of operation of the μ CF in the spark fusion part of the device which correspond to 1 μ s.

and 45 cm in the axial direction, with mirror-like magnetic topology, capable of trapping a plasma with density of $10^{16}-10^{17}$ cm⁻³ and initial plasma temperature up to 300 or 800 eV for duration much shorter than 1 s (see Figure 3). The external applied magnetic field for the second part of the device is fixed to 8–9 T. For both parts of the proposed compact magnetic fusion device the selected initial values of the plasmas and the magnetic fields allow a beta plasma value in the range of 1–1.5. In the spark fusion part of the



Figure 4. Temporal evolution of the reaction rate, and plasma ion temperature. The blue arrow indicates the end of operation of the μ CF in the spark fusion part of the device which correspond to 1 μ s.

proposed device the fusion reactions in the low temperature plasma will be initiated by μ CF in D–T plasma. After 1 μ s of operation the spark fusion part of the device produces sufficient alphas in order to trigger the fusion process, via alpha heating, in the second part of the device. The proposed new scheme works in two steps having different characteristic times of operation, enabling in the first step to produce alpha particles by µCF fusion reactions in the spark part of the device and use the alpha heating effect in the second step to increase the initial plasma temperature of 300 or 800 eV to the optimum fusion temperature of 25 keV (see Figure 3) in the second part of the device. The selection of these plasma temperatures (300 or 800 eV) is to emphasize on the alpha heating effect and study the triggering fusion process of the low temperature plasma. We use a multifluid global particle and energy balance code^[35] to calculate the temporal evolution of the plasma parameters, the effect of alpha heating in the second part of the device and the necessary time interval for the reaction rate to reach the max value which gives the neutron production of the device. The numerical simulation for the two-step operation of the device will be presented and discussed in the next paragraphs.

3. Development of μCF for conditions opened by laser induced ultrahigh ion densities

Up until now muon production is based on accelerators where a proton beam accelerates and collides with a solid target to produce pions that decay to positive and negative muons^[36–39]. Our aim is to study μ CF in D–T mixture where muons are created from the interaction of a proton beam, which is created and accelerated by a high-intensity laser

beam, with a solid target. Only negative muons are useful and contribute to the muon catalyzed fusion. The following paragraphs and sections of the text will refer to the term μ CF instead of the term negative μ CF. The reason for this choice is that the use of a laser based accelerator will be advantageous as will lead to relatively smaller scale facilities more tunable in operating parameters. Also the expected number of accelerated particles will be higher by few orders of magnitude compared to the conventional accelerator case.

Use of muons as a catalyst in p-D fusion was first examined by Frank in 1947^[36] and the first experimental proof was demonstrated by Alvarez in 1956^[37]. Muon catalyzed D-T fusion was described in the work of Sakharov in 1948^[38, 39] and was further discussed by Jackson in 1957^[40]. In 1987, Eliezer *et al.*^[11] proposed a muon catalyzed fusion-fission device. Studies on µCF in relatively large magnetic devices^[41] and detailed investigation on μCF process^[42] including fusion devices with magnetic trapping conditions^[42, 43] enable to propose schemes for energy production plants^[42–44]. An analytical description can be seen in many works^[42, 45-47]. The full cycle describing the process is shown in Figure $1^{[45]}$. There the injected muons in the D₂, T_2 mixture form muonic atoms (dµ or tµ) and afterwards they react again with either D, T and as a result molecules such as ddµ, dtµ or ttµ are formed, leading to fusion reactions, with the above seen products. After the reaction most of the muons are available for a second μ CF cycle. However as the cross-section for the formation of ttu and $dd\mu$ is lower (about 10² times) than the cross-section for dtµ, the following reduced cycle can describe the catalysis in good agreement with Figure $2^{[48]}$.

The numerical solution of a set of differential equations^[45, 48] that describe the μ CF cycle allows us to follow the temporal evolution of neutron and alpha production due to μ CF. We use the same set of differential equations as presented and discussed in details in Ref. [48] and without including estimation on muon losses due to annihilation or other physical processes occurred during the propagation and the separation of positive and negative muons before their interaction with the fusion fuel. The main critical parameter for $\mu CF(\mu dt)$ is the muon sticking probability, ω , expressing the capture of a muon by an alpha particle (α or He) that is generated from fusion reaction. This phenomenon leads to muon loss and the mechanism to reactivate the stuck muons from $\mu\alpha$ atoms is called muon regeneration or muon stripping and its fraction is symbolized as $R^{[45, 48]}$. Thus the effective sticking probability is $\omega_s^{\text{eff}} = (1 - R)\omega_s^0$. ω_s^0 is the initial sticking probability. Optimization mechanisms of R, as well as numerical estimates can be seen in Refs. [42, 49– 51]. The optimal value for ω_s^0 is in the range of 0.007–0.0008 and for ω_s^{eff} after muon regeneration is 0.0007 or lower. The main factor in order to create a sustainable µCF process is the available number of μ that will participate in the fusion reactions. In recent simulations of the collision of a proton beam with various solid targets for the parameters of the ISIS-UK muon facility, the results showed that about $10^4 \mu$ will be created [20, 52, 53]. These experimental results confirm the necessity for high muon production by laser proton acceleration and interaction with solid targets in order to have efficient µCF in an experimental device. In addition muon creation from laser vacuum interaction was studied^[38, 39], but with relatively low production efficiency. The µCF depends also on the temperature of the D-T plasma. The international literature^[11-13, 42, 49-51, 54-56] enables parametric studies of μ CF as a function of the plasma temperature and estimate sticking coefficient and the number of fusions per muon (f/μ) which for low temperature plasma could be up to $1000 f/\mu^{[42, 54, 56]}$. But the experimental results are limited and there is not yet experimental setup using the proposed scheme of operation of the compact magnetic fusion device. For our purpose we initiate the calculations by using moderate parameters for the µCF in order to have $250 f/\mu - 300 f/\mu$. Multi-kJ and PW laser beams can accelerate protons to energies up to 300 MeV. The high energy proton production by ps ultrahigh-intensity laser beam interaction with solid target present the main advantage compared to conventional accelerators because the number of produced protons could be up to 10^{15} – 10^{16} per laser pulse. For a production of $10^{-5}\mu/p$ (results from the ISIS-UK facility and Ref. [23]), the number of the generated muons is up to 10^{10} – 10^{11} per laser pulse. Under these conditions the estimated value for the laser beam energy is hundreds of kJ which is relatively high for the actual laser facilities. In the future laser installations such as the IZEST could deliver this energy. But for near future laser installation energy of few kJ (PETAL class laser) or 30 kJ like the ELI project will be available. These laser installations emphasize the benefits of laser based accelerator compared to the conventional accelerators for muon production.

In the international bibliography there are proposals for high current, high efficient and high energy proton beams production by high-intensity laser pulse interaction with solid targets^[7, 17, 18]. The accelerated proton beam in the energy range of 300–350 MeV will interact with a solid target of graphite or other suitable material to generate a pion beam. The pions decay and produce surface muons^[20–24]. In the following section we explore numerically the operation of the proposed device using laser beam energy for the muon production from hundreds of kJ (exotic case) to tens of kJ. These calculations allow to appreciate the laser development and to evaluate the potential use of the proposed device for energy production. We consider in the spark fusion part (first part) of the device a plasma mixture of D-T with density of $N_{\rm d} = N_{\rm t} =$ 10^{21} cm⁻³ and plasma temperature lower than 100 eV. The application of an external magnetic field of 100 T with mirror-like topology allows plasma trapping for $1 \mu s^{[19, 34]}$. A solid disc (first solid target) placed near the magnetic mirror of the configuration and the interaction with high contrast PW (or higher) laser beam produce high energy and high density proton beam up to 350 MeV due to plasma block acceleration. The high energy proton beam interacts with a second solid surface placed perpendicular to proton beam and just after the first disc in order to produce pions which decay to muons^[20]. In the extreme case the interaction of hundreds of kJ of laser beam with a thin solid target produces 2×10^{16} protons (p). The interaction of this beam with a solid surface (e.g., of graphite) generates 2×10^{11} surface muons (µ) in a volume of 1 cm³ of the spark fusion part of the device. This number of muons is introduced as initial conditions for numerical solutions of the coupled differential equations describing the μCF with the appropriate parameters as described in textbooks^[45, 48]. The plasma temperature is less than 100 eV. The main result correspond to the production of 10^{14} alphas in the 1 cm³ volume of the spark fusion part of the device after 1 µs of operation. This value of alpha corresponds approximately to $300 f/\mu$. Subsequently the simulation introduces the alphas in order to trigger the fusion process in the second part of the device. We simulate the temporal evolution of the plasma parameters and the reaction rate using a global particle and energy balance $code^{[35]}$. In the second part of the device the initial values of the plasma density is 10^{17} cm⁻³, the plasma temperature is 300 eV and the applied external magnetic field is 9 T. Figure 3 show the temporal evolution of the plasma ion density, plasma temperature and reaction rate due to the initial alpha production in the spark fusion part of the device and consequently the alpha heating effect of the plasma in the second part of the device. The important result of the simulation is that the alpha heating effect begins to be important after 0.04 s and the reaction rate reaches the maximum value at 0.05 s after the end of the μCF operation in the spark fusion part of the device. The blue arrow indicates the end of the operation of the spark fusion part. The maximum reaction rate corresponds to a value of $1.5 \times 10^{24} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The volume integration and the time integration allow estimating 10¹⁹ neutrons produced after 0.06 s of the operation of the proposed device. The plasma ion density drops dramatically after 0.06 s due to high reaction rate, and the ion temperature increases is due to alpha heating. The plasma temperature for the maximum value of the reaction rate corresponds to 25 keV. This value of the temperature is achieved in the plasma of the second part of the device which initially was at a temperature of 300 eV. The reaction rate decrease after 0.06 s following the plasma density and if we like to maintain the fusion process with high reaction rate a pellet injection could be used for refueling the device. Under these conditions a continuous operation of the device is possible similar to the Tokamak machines but with a more compact magnetic fusion configuration.

Similar numerical results are obtained with a plasma density of 5×10^{16} cm⁻³ in the second part of the device. The other plasma parameters and magnetic field values for both part of the device remain the same. Figure 4 shows the temporal evolution of the reaction rate and the plasma temperature. The main change for this case is the characteristic time of the alpha heating effect which arrives 0.01 s after the end of the μ CF operation in the spark fusion part of the device. The reaction rate reaches the maximum value after 0.02 s which is a factor of two compared to the previous case. The maximum value for the reaction rate in this case is about 4.5×10^{23} m⁻³ s⁻¹, which correspond to a factor of three less, compare to the previous case. Also in this case the maximum value of the reaction corresponds to the fusion temperature of 25 keV. The same comments are applicable concerning the temperature effect and the refueling process as in the previous case.

As we explain in the previous paragraph the production of 10^{16} protons with energy up to 350 MeV per laser pulse is an extreme case for laser infrastructures because the necessary energy of the laser pulse must be hundreds of kJ. The results presented in Figures 3 and 4 could stimulate efforts for both laser system development and experimental studies on compact magnetic fusion devices. If the laser energy decreases to a few kJ (PETAL class laser system) or to tens of kJ (35 kJ), as will be the case for the IZEST project, the production of protons will be decreased by a factor of 100 or 10, respectively, and future experiments for high density proton generation by laser interaction with thin solid targets will be feasible. For this reason, we simulate the operation of the proposed device with laser energies close to actual laser facilities or to the near future laser facilities. Figure 5 shows the temporal evolution of the reaction rate due to alpha heating effect in the second part of the device for different alpha productions in the spark fusion part of the device. The different curves in Figure 5 correspond to different proton, muon and consequently alpha production in the spark fusion part of the device. The alpha density correspond to $2 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (deep green $2 \times 10^{17} \text{ m}^{-3}$), to 10^{12} cm^{-3} (blue 10^{18} m^{-3}), $5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (green $5 \times 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-3}$) and to 10^{13} cm⁻³ (red 10^{19} m⁻³). We simulate the operation of the device for initial plasma densities up to 10^{16} cm⁻³ and plasma temperature up to 800 eV, in the second part of the device. All the other parameters and the values of the magnetic fields in both parts of the device remain the same as was for the simulations of Figures 3 and 4. The main results is that for all values of alpha particles there exists triggering



Figure 5. Temporal evolution of the reaction rate. The curves correspond to different initial values of the alpha particles produced by the μ CF in the spark part of the device: (a) red 10^{19} m⁻³, green 5 × 10^{18} m⁻³, blue 10^{18} m⁻³ and deep green 2 × 10^{17} m⁻³.

of fusion process in the second part of the device but for later time intervals as the alpha particle density decreases. The reaction rate for the lower value of alphas change (increases) very slow and reach the max value for a time interval longer than 10 s. For all cases there is manifestation of the alpha heating effect with main interest for the case of 10^{13} cm⁻³ (red curve of Figure 5) and 5×10^{12} cm⁻³ (green curve in Figure 5) for which the max of reaction rate correspond to a time interval less than 1 s after the end of operation of μ CF in the spark fusion part of the device.

5. Conclusions

In view of the basically new aspects of generation of ultrahigh space charge neutral relativistic ion densities^[4, 7, 8] based on the ultrahigh acceleration of plasma blocks[3, 5, 6], the mechanism of generation of ultrahigh density beams of protons with energies above of hundreds of MeV^[17, 18] opens a new access to $\mu CF^{[11]}$. This was experienced by extending the results derived for relativistic ion beams^[8] following the application of petawatt to exawatt laser pulses for a new scheme of compact laser-driven compact magnetic fusion device. Laser-driven proton beam acceleration by high power laser beam interaction with ultrathin solid target is under investigation in the existing kJ/PW laser facilities as the GEKKO-LFEX^[25, 26], ORION^[27] and PETAL^[28, 29]. Preliminary experiments in existing kJ/PW laser facilities^[30] allow the development of experimental setups and diagnostics for muon production by proton beam interaction with solid targets. High muon production by proton beam interaction with solid targets is scheduled for the new ELI-NP laser infrastructure enabling the systematic study^[19] of μCF process and their potential application in compact magnetic fusion devices. Our numerical simulations confirm the importance of μCF and alpha heating effect for the potential operation of compact magnetic fusion devices in the intermediate plasma density range with low initial plasma temperature. The main advantage of the proposed device is that the fusion process initiated in very low plasma temperature by μ CF and the triggering of the fusion process of the device is due to the alpha heating effect. The initial plasma density of 10^{16} cm⁻³ of the second part of the proposed device is favorable for operation because the reaction rate reaches the max value in shorter time than this corresponding to initial plasma density of 10^{17} cm⁻³. From these results it is obvious that alpha heating effect is not important in the spark fusion part of the device due to high plasma density, low initial plasma temperature and short operation time of $1 \mu s$. The neutron production reach the value of the 5×10^{19} neutrons for an operation much less than 1 s. Pellet injection could be used for continuous operation of the proposed device. Simplified evaluations without taking into account the plasma losses in the proposed device allows estimating a power of about 100 MW by applying Tokamak blanket techniques for energy conversion. The alpha avalanche process^[57] enhances the alpha heating effect in the case of p¹¹B fusion reaction with interest for future investigations on compact magnetic fusion devices working with the attractive aneutronic fusion fuel. These results promote the development of new high power laser systems and their coupling with compact magnetic fusion devices for clean energy production.

References

- G. Mourou, T. Tajima, and S. Bulanov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 309 (2006).
- T. Tajima and G. Mourou, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 5, 0310301 (2002).
- H. Hora, J. Badziak, M. N. Read, Y. T. Li, T. J. Liang, H. Liu, Z. M. Shang, J. Zhang, F. Osman, G. H. Miley, W. Y. Zhang, X. T. He, H. S. Peng, S. Glowacz, S. Jablonski, J. Wolowski, Z. Skladanowski, K. Jungwirth, K. Rohlena, and J. Ullschmied, Phys. Plasmas 14, 072701 (2007).
- 4. H. Hora, Proc. SPIE 8780, 878024 (2013).
- 5. R. Sauerbrey, Phys. Plasmas 3, 4712 (1996).
- 6. H. Hora, *Physics of Laser Driven Plasmas* (Wiley Interscience, 1981), see Fig. 10.18b.
- 7. H. Hora, Laser Particle Beams 27, 207 (2009).
- P. Lalousis, H. Hora, S. Eliezer, J.-M. Martinez-Val, S. Moustaizis, G. H. Miley, and G. Mourou, Phys. Lett. **339**, 885 (2013).
- 9. S. Moustaizis, P. Lalousis, and H. Hora, Proc. SPIE **8780**, 878029 (2013).
- H. Hora, J. Badziak, F. P. Boody, R. Höpfl, K. Jungwirth, B. Kralikowa, J. Kraska, L. Laska, P. Parys, V. Perina, M. Pfeifer, K. Rohlena, J. Skala, J. Ullschmied, J. Wolowski, and E. Woryna, Opt. Commun. 207, 333 (2002).

- 12. J. S. Cohen, Nucl. Instr. Meth. B 42, 419 (1989).
- 13. J. S. Cohen, Nucl. Instr. Meth. Phys. Res. B 42, 419 (1989).
- A. Christopoulos, H. Hora, R. J. Stening, H. Loeb, and W. Scheid, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 271, 178 (1988).
- H. Hora and H. W. Loeb, Zeitschrift f
 ür Flugwissenschaft und Weltraumforschung 10, 393 (1986).
- 16. www.extreme-light-infrastructure.eu.
- T. Esirkepov, M. Borghesi, S. V. Bulanov, G. Mourou, and T. Tajima, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 175003 (2004).
- G. Mourou, B. Brocklesby, T. Tajima, and J. Limpert, Nat. Photon. 7, 58 (2013).
- F. Negoita, M. Roth, P. G. Thirolf, S. Tudisco, F. Hannachi, S. Moustaizis, I. Pomerantz, P. McKenna, J. Fuchs, K. Sphor, G. Acbas, A. Anzalone, P. Audebert, B. Tatulea, I. C. E. Turcu, M. Versteegen, D. Ursescu, S. Gales, and N. V. Zamfir, Rom. Rep. Phys. 68, S37 (2016).
- A. Bungau, R. Cywinski, C. Bungau, P. King, and J. Lord, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams 16, 014701 (2013).
- 21. A. Bungau, R. Cywinski, J. Lord, P. King, and C. Bungau, Physics Procedia **30**, 12 (2012).
- 22. A. Bungau, R. Cywinski, C. Bungau, P. King, and J. Lord, in *Proceedings of IPAC'10* (2010), p. 259.
- 23. A. Ferrari, P. R. Sala, A. Fasso, and J. Ranft, CERN-2005-010, INFN TC_05/11 (2005).
- I. C. E. Turcu, S. Balascuta, F. Negoita, D. Jaroszynski, and P. Mckenna, AIP Conf. Proc. 1645, 416 (2015).
- 25. H. Azechi, J. Phys. Conf. 717, 012119 (2015).
- 26. S. Fujioka, Z. Zhang, N. Yamamoto, S. Ohira, Y. Fujii, K. Ishihara, T. Johzaki, A. Sunahara, Y. Arikawa, K. Shigemori, Y. Hironaka, Y. Sakawa, Y. Nakata, J. Kawanaka, H. Nagatomo, H. Shiraga, N. Miyanaga, T. Norimatsu, H. Nishimura, and H. Azechi, Plasma Phys. Contr. Fusion 54, 124042 (2012).
- N. Hopps, C. Danson, S. Duffield, D. Egan, S. Elsmere, M. Girling, E. Harvey, D. Hillier, M. Norman, S. Parker, P. Treadwell, D. Winter, and T. Bett, Appl. Opt. 52, 3597 (2013).
- N. Blanchot, G. Behar, T. Berthier, B. Busserole, C. Chappuis, C. Damiens-Dupont, P. Garcia, F. Granet, C. Grosset-Grange, J.-P. Goossens, L. Hilsz, F. Laborde, T. Lacombe, F. Laniesse, E. Lavastre, J. Luce, F. Macias, E. Mazataud, J. L. Miquel, J. Néauport, S. Noailles, P. Patelli, E. Perrot-Minot, C. Present, D. Raffestin, B. Remy, C. Rouyer, and D. Valla, EPJ Web of Conferences 59, 07001 (2013).
- A. Casner, T. Caillaud, S. Darbon, A. Duval, I. Thfouin, J. P. Jadaud, J. P. LeBreton, C. Reverdin, B. Rosse, R. Rosch, N. Blanchot, B. Villette, R. Wrobel, and J. L. Miquel, High Energy Density Physics 17, 2 (2015).
- 30. C. Danson, D. Hillier, N. Hopps, and D. Neely, High Power Laser Sci. Eng. **3**, e3 (2015).

- T. J. Mcguire, Active cooling of structures immersed in plasma, US Patent 2014/0301517 (2014).
- T. J. Mcguire, Heating plasma for fusion power using magnetic field oscillation, US Patent 2014/0301519 (2014).
- H. Hora, Method for generating electrical energy by laserbased nuclear fusion and laser fusion reactor, Patent WO 2015/144190 A1 (2015).
- 34. S. D. Moustaizis, P. Auvray, H. Hora, P. Lalousis, J. Larour, and G. Mourou, AIP Conf. Proc. **1462**, 191 (2012).
- P. Lalousis, G. Throumoulopoulos, and G. Poulipoulis, in 43rd EPS Conference (2016), paper P5.069.
- 36. F. C. Frank, Nature 160, 525 (1947).
- 37. L. W. Alvarez, H. Bradner, F. S. Crawford, Jr., J. A. Crawford, P. Falk-Vairant, M. L. Good, J. D. Gow, A. H. Rosenfeld, F. Solmitz, M. L. Stevenson, H. K. Ticho, and R. D. Tripp, Phys. Rev. 105, 1127 (1957).
- 38. A. D. Sakharov, *Report of the Physics Institute* (USSR Academy of Sciences, 1948).
- 39. A. D. Sakharov, Collected Scientific Works (Dekker, 1982).
- 40. J. D. Jackson, Phys. Rev. 106, 330 (1957).
- 41. C. Petitjean, Fusion Engng Design 11, 255 (1989).
- 42. S. Eliezer and Z. Henis, Fusion Technol. 26, 46 (1994).
- 43. T. Tajima, S. Eliezer, and R. M. Kulsrud, in *Proc. Muon Catalyzed Fusion, Sanibel Island, FL*, S. E. Jones, J. Rafelski and H. J. Monkhorst (eds) (American Institute of Physics, 1989).
- 44. Yu. V. Petrov, Nature 285, 466 (1980).
- K. Nagamine, *Introductory Muon Science* (Cambridge University Press, 2003).
- K. Ishida, K. Nagamine, and T. Matsuzaki, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. 29, 2043 (2003).
- S. S. Gershtein, Yu. V. Petrov, I. Ponomarev, L. N. Somov, and M. P. Faifman, Sov. Phys. JETP 51, 1053 (1980).
- A. A. Harms, K. F. Schoepf, G. H. Miley, and D. R. Kingdon, *Principles of Fusion Energy: An Introduction to Fusion Energy for Students of Science and Engineering* (World Scientific, 2000).
- 49. S. Kimura and A. Bonasera, arXiv:physics/0605206 (2006).
- 50. C. D. Stodden and H. J. Monkhorst, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 1281 (1990).
- 51. C.-Y. Hu, Phys. Rev. A 49, 4481 (1994).
- 52. A. Bungau, R. Cywinski, C. Bungau, P. King, and J. Lord, in *Proceedings of IPAC'10* (2010), p. 250.
- 53. A. Bungau, R. Cywinski, C. Bungau, P. King, and J. Lord, in *Proceedings of IPAC'10* (2010), p. 247.
- 54. W. Breunlich, Nucl. Phys. A 508, 3c (1990).
- 55. J. Rafelski and D. Harvey, Particle Accelerator **37–38**, 409 (1992).
- M. R. Pahlavani and S. M. Motevalli, Acta Phys. Polonica B 40, 2 (2009).
- 57. S. Eliezer, H. Hora, G. Korn, N. Nissin, and J. M. Martinez Val, Phys. Plasmas 23, 050704 (2016).